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Jean Charlot: Artist and Scholar

by Karen Thompson

Born in Paris, Louis Henri Jean Charlot (1898-1979) was descended from those he would later refer to as "sundry exotic ancestors" (Charlot 1954:99). His father, Henri, was a French businessman, free-thinker and Bolshevik sympathizer born and reared in Russia. Anna, his mother, an artist and a devout Catholic, was the daughter of Louis Goupil, a native of Mexico City. Charlot admiringly describes his maternal grandfather in his earlier years as "...a fine rider, a coeleidor who could hold a running bull by passing its tail between his knee and the saddle of his galloping horse" (Charlot [1963] 1967:178). Goupil, of French and Mexican Indian stock, married Sara Louise (Luisita) Melendez, a Jewish woman of Spanish descent
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Works in the Charlot Collection are housed in Hamilton Library, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa.

Circus
1904
pencil on notebook paper
8 5/8" x 6 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

Bon Papa jouant aux échecs
1912
pencil on graph paper
5 1/2" x 3 1/4"
Charlot Family Collection

Four Sketches of Man
c. 1912
pencil on paper
6 1/4" x 7 3/8"
Charlot Family Collection
and subsequently moved from Mexico to Paris in the late 1880s.

Also living in Paris was Jean Charlot's great-uncle, Eugène Goupil, a collector of Mexican works of art. Jean, who began to draw around age two, grew up surrounded by pre-Hispanic antiquities. Years later (1926-28) he would be commissioned as staff artist for the Carnegie Institution expedition to Chichén

Louis Goupil, Charlot's Graaffather
Mexico, early 1860s
Itzá, Yucatán, and would publish books and articles on Mexican art and produce paintings, graphics and murals with Mexican themes.

Charlot was educated at the Lycée Condorcet (where he won the French national scholastic boxing championship in the medium weight division in 1912) and studied informally at the École des Beaux-Arts in Paris. Soon after the onset of World War I
and the failure of Henri Charlot's import-export firm, which did business primarily with Germany, the family, in much reduced financial circumstances, moved to the village of St. Mandé. Henri died shortly thereafter.

In the French countryside near his home and during travels in Brittany, the teenage Charlot painted small landscapes in oil on paper (Illus. p. 100) and pursued what was to become a lifelong interest in folk imagery. Drafted into the army near the end of the war, he became an artillery lieutenant. An officer in the Senegalese Troops, Charlot was given the command when his predecessors had been killed in battle. He was young and considered expendable. While encamped at Sézanne, he began drawings for the fifteen print woodcut series Chemin de Croix, Way of the Cross (Illus. p. 103). Charlot entered Germany with the French army on Christmas Day 1918. During the French occupation of the Rhineland Charlot, bivouacked between Mannheim and Cologne, had the opportunity to view paintings by 16th century German masters, especially Stephan Lochner and Mathias Grünewald which "...were a big influence, but," he remarked, "I always go back to folk art" (In Morse 1976:viii).

The Chemin de Croix was cut in Landau, Bavaria, in 1920. "The stations were large woodcuts on pearwood, cut in part with hammer and chisel, and closer in technique to carving than to engraving" (Charlot 1972, vol. I:228). The portfolio was printed in an edition of fifteen at Chaumontel, France, after Charlot was discharged from the army. In 1920 Chemin de Croix was shown at an exhibition of liturgical arts held at the Louvre, along with three designs for liturgical
textiles and two friezes in watercolor (1/10th scale) for decoration of a new church in a Paris suburb that Charlot claimed was his “first serious attempt at mural painting” ([1963] 1967:178).

In his teens, Charlot had become one of a Catholic group that called itself Gilde Notre-Dame (“Parisian adolescents who used to gather

---

**Notre-Dame-de-la-Clarté, Brittany, Cemetery in Foreground**

C. 1916
oil on paper
9" x 5 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Our House, St. Mandé**

C. 1916
oil on paper
8 1/2" x 5 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

**Snow Scene, St. Mandé**

C. 1916
oil on paper
5 1/2" x 8 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

**Red Rocks and Sea**

C. 1916
oil on paper
5 5/8" x 8 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

**Louis Goupil (the artist's grandfather)**

C. 1916
watercolor and pencil on paper
14 3/4" x 10 1/8"
Charlot Collection

**Chemin de Croix (Via Crucis)**

1918-20
woodcut (wood blocks and 15 plates)
17 1/2" x 12"
Charlot Collection

**Latta Kuhn**

January 12, 1919
pencil on paper
14 5/8" x 10 1/8"
Charlot Collection
in a crypt”) made up of sculptors, stained glass makers, embroiderers and decorators (1972, vol. 1:285). The resumption after the war of what Charlot calls his “career as a French liturgical artist” was cut short by the cancellation of the commission for the church mural just after he had completed the scale drawings. This “first heartbreak at the realization that a born mural painter is helpless without a wall...” ([1963] 1967:178) was one of the factors that precipitated a journey to Mexico in 1920.

Charlot comments, “On this first trip to Mexico I did nothing at all. I was stuck aesthetically in 18th century France.” Later he wrote: “My life in France was on the whole rational, national, obeying this often heard dictum that a Frenchman is a man who ignores geography. There were though, simultaneously,
un-French elements at work. Russian, sephardim, Aztec ancestors, warmed my blood to adventure. In art, I accepted as part of my patrimony, the monstrous chubby forms of Indian idols, the squatty masked heroes of Mexican cosmogony, without letting go a whit of those other models, Poussin's Eliezer and Rebecca, and Ingres' Apotheosis of Homer" (Charlot, 1954:103). After a brief return to Paris where he exhibited paintings, including L'Amitié (Illus. p. 104), in the 1921 Salon d'Automne, Charlot was again off to Mexico, "for good," this time with his mother.

In the article, "Mexico of the Poor," written in 1922 in French and translated by Diego Rivera into Spanish (published in a slightly different version in English in Mexican Life, March 1926), Charlot records some of his early impressions of Mexico: "At six o'clock in the morning, I was in the streets. Automobiles and ladies were still asleep, and the true features of the town emerged. Beautiful beings people the street like Ladies of Guadalupe innumerable. They move noiselessly, feet flat to the ground, antique beauty come to life. The wealthier quarters are as empty and soiled as a music hall at noon, but everywhere else, among those low-lying houses, cubic and freshly daubed, processions are staged. At first glance the crowd is the color of dust. Flesh and cloth, both worn out with use, melt into this grey which is the very livery of humbleness. Eye and mind soon learn to focus, and this race, its confidence won, attests to its beauty through fabrics, its straw, its flesh" (Charlot 1972, vol. II:99).

In the Mexico City suburb, Coyoacán, Charlot sometimes painted at the open-air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-portrait</th>
<th>January 21, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Self-portrait</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Pen, line and wash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 12&quot; x 8 1/2&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soldier With Monocle</th>
<th>March 12, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Soldier With Monocle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Watercolor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hildegarde</th>
<th>April 28, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Hildegarde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Pencil on paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traves</th>
<th>November 13, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Traves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Pencil on paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amnweiler</th>
<th>November 20, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Amnweiler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Gouache</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 14 3/4&quot; x 10&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landau</th>
<th>December 1, 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Landau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Gouache</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park with Chair</th>
<th>c. 1919</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painting: Park with Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium: Watercolor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: 12 3/4&quot; x 9 3/4&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Charlot Collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
school, an annex of the Academy of San Carlos. He shared a studio with Fernando Leal, one of the founders (with Rivera, Siqueiros and Guerrero) of the Syndicate of Revolutionary Painters, Sculptors and Engravers of Mexico, dedicated, according to their *Resolutions*, to “do work useful to Mexico’s popular classes in their struggle, meanwhile producing an art aesthetically and technically great” ([1963]1967:243).

Charlot produced a series of small woodcuts and oils, primarily portraits. Many of his contemporaries in Mexico—David Alfaro Siqueiros, Manuel Martínez Pintao, José Clemente Orozco, Diego Rivera, Xavier Guerrero, Nacho Asúnsolo,

![At the Preparatoria](image)

*At the Preparatoria*

*Mexico City, c. 1922*

Charlot is in the first row, fifth from left and his mother, first row, extreme right. Among the artists pictured are José Clemente Orozco (back row, behind Charlot, wearing glasses), Nacho Asúnsolo (second row, center, wearing glasses), Amado de la Cueva (second row center, to Asúnsolo’s right), Carlos Mérida (right of de la Cueva) and Alfaro Siqueiros (right of Mérida, wearing dark glasses). Diego Rivera is portrayed on the left in the mural visible through the arch in the center.
Henrietta Shore, Sergei Eisenstein, Anita Brenner, Edward Weston and Tina Modotti—are represented in portraits by Charlot.

For two years Charlot concentrated on mural paintings in fresco. He had become an assistant to Diego Rivera, the leading figure of the Mexican socio-political school of painting, who in 1922 was working at the Escuela Preparatoria (the National Preparatory School in Mexico City) on an encaustic titled Creation. A month after beginning at the Preparatoria Charlot started work on a mural of his own. In The Mexican Mural Renaissance, Charlot wrote that in Paris he had fallen in love with the texture, transparency, and lack of “cuisine” (lack of clichés or technical trickery) of the portable frescoes of Marcel Lenoir and had decided from the first to do his Mexican mural in true fresco. “I borrowed from Diego the French treatise of Paul Baudoin, founder of the Fontainebleau fresco school, and at the same time cultivated and probed the ways of Mexican masons and Mexican mortars, an easy feat in the Preparatoria building where a wing was still in the process of construction” ([1963] 1987:181).

Charlot’s The Massacre in the Main Temple, 14’ x 26’ (Illus. pp. 118–121), is the first work of the twentieth century Mexican mural movement completed in true fresco.¹

Diego Rivera and his assistants, nicknamed “Dieguitos” (little Diegos), were next commissioned to paint the walls of the Ministry of Education in Mexico City, an enormous building with two courts. Charlot trained masons in the preparation of walls for fresco and instructed the other artists in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pots and Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. 1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gouache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 1/8&quot; x 14 5/8&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleeping Soldier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watercolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 1/4&quot; x 14 3/4&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Scene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 25, 1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gouache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/8&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Louis Goupi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 26, 1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watercolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 3/8&quot; x 29&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Family Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soldier (profile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 13, 1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gouache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 5/8&quot; x 10 1/4&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soldier (front)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gouache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 3/4&quot; x 10 1/8&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watercolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 1/4&quot; x 29&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlot Family Collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
technique. Rivera began in the ground floor “Court of Labor,” and the second floor “Court of the Fiestas” was consigned to Xavier Guerrero, Amado de la Cueva and Charlot to decorate; in Charlot’s words “...a first try at communal painting” (1972, vol. 1:391). Rivera eventually took over this court too, and all but three frescoes are his. Charlot painted nine decorative shields and three murals (each 16 1/3’ x 7 2/3’) of Mexican folk scenes: Cargadores (Burcien Bearers), Lavanderas (Washerwomen), and Danza de los Listones (Dance of the Ribbons). The latter was destroyed by Rivera in 1924 to make space for his triple panel composition Market Place.

The following year Charlot completed a mural, Shield of the National University of Mexico, with Eagle and Condor, at the Pan American Library. In 1923 he published his first article on Mexican art, on the work of the sculptor Manuel Martínez Pintao (El Democrata, August 5, 1923), and participated in an independent group exhibition in New York, his first in the United States.

In what is sometimes called his “dark period,” Charlot produced more than four dozen small easel paintings (c. 10’ x 14”) in oil on canvas of Mexican subjects. Several of these subdued works were studies of a Mexican Indian woman, Luciana (Luz) Jiménez (Illus. p. 129), a friend and favorite model. Luz instructed him in the Aztec language, Náhuatl, and furthered his interest and knowledge of Mexican folk culture. He created woodcuts for publication in periodicals such as Irradiador (Enlightener), and to illustrate the poems of German-born Mexican List Arzubide, Esquina: Poemas (Mexico City: D. F. Librería, 1923), and Manuel Maples Arce, Urbe. Super-
poema bolchevique en 5 cantos (Mexico City: Andros Botas & Hijo, 1924). The latter author was a good friend and leader of the Estridentismo (lit. "strident") group of avant-garde writers and poets. Charlot became secretary of this organization. He also introduced some symbolist French poetry into Mexico. From 1924-26 Charlot was art editor of the influential periodical, *Mexican Folkways*, publishing such articles as "Aesthetics of Indian Dance."

In 1925, Charlot in the company of Frances Toor, Anita Brenner and Luz Jiménez' family, made the pilgrimage to Chalma, a Catholic shrine at a pre-Hispanic cave-site sacred to the Indian God of the Caves, "...a very long trek—three days more or less from Milpa Alta, with two nights on the way" (In Morse 1976:157). Charlot drew profound personal and artistic inspiration from the folk-religious activities he observed on this pilgrimage. Works from the mid-1920s include several paintings and graphics on Chalma and other Indian themes (Illus. pp. 133, 134). He illustrated several books by Anita Brenner, a native of Mexico and the author of socio-cultural histories of the country. Charlot "discovered" the popular artist José Guadalupe Posada (1852-1913), and produced the study, "A Precursor of the Modern Art Movement, the Printmaker Posada," which was published in *Revista de Revistas*, August 30, 1925. Also in 1925 Charlot exhibited in the Mexican section at the Pan American Union in Los Angeles. The following year, there was an exhibition of his paintings at the Art Center in New York.

An opportunity to broaden his knowledge of Mayan history, culture and customs
came about when Charlot served as staff artist of the Carnegie Institution expedition to the archeological site Chichén Itzá in Yucatán (Illus. p. 132). From January to June 1926-28 he copied, in oils, watercolors and line drawings, such monuments as the bas-reliefs in the Temple of the Warriors and frescoes in the Temple of the Tiger and the “Nunnery.” Contemporary Yucatán themes and ethnic types were incorporated into Charlot’s paintings and graphics produced in Mexico after each archeological season. The report of the Yucatán expedition, The Temple of the Warriors at Chichén Itzá, Yucatán, by Earl Morris, Jean Charlot and Anne Axtell Morris (Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution Publication 406) was published May 21, 1931. Charlot later noted it was “perhaps the last such archeological publication to be illustrated mainly with drawings instead of photographs” (In Morse 1976:46). Two years later, he completed a series of lithographs with the printer George Miller, the most ambitious of which, Great Builders I and Great

At the Palacio de Cortés
Guernavaca, Mexico, 1931

Posed before a mural by Diego Rivera are (left to right): Eric Faure, Jean Charlot, unidentified man, Frida Kahlo, Diego Rivera, Frances Paine
Builders II, are an imaginative reconstruction of the building of the temples at Chichén Itzá. Chariot’s archaeological renderings and descriptions were also included in A Preliminary Study of the Ruins of Coba, Quintana Roo, Mexico, by J. Eric Thompson, Harry E.D. Pollock and Jean Charlot (Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution. Publication no. 242, March 1932).

After the completion of the Yucatán project in 1928 Charlot and his mother moved to New York where he rented a small apartment on the top floor of 42 Union Square from the artist Morris Kantor. The apartment was unheated, which probably contributed to the death of his mother from pneumonia in January, 1929. In New York Charlot’s work was shown in the Mexican government-sponsored group exhibition at the Art Center in 1928, and in a retrospective at the Art Students League in 1930. He also participated in Mexican group exhibitions at the Museum of Modern Art and the Fogg Museum, and illustrated The Book of Christopher Columbus (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1930) by the poet Paul Claudel, whom Charlot first knew in Washington while Claudel was French ambassador to the United States from 1927-33.

On a brief trip to Mexico in 1931, Charlot met his future wife, Dorothy Zohmah Day, who was visiting Ione Robinson, a fellow art student on a Guggenheim scholarship in Mexico. Returning to New York, Charlot taught at the Art Students League in 1931-32 and painted portraits and Mexican and religious scenes. Illustrations of his work in various media from 1924-31 appeared in Jean Charlot, Peintres Nouveaux, August, 1932, with an introduction by Paul Claudel.
Edward Weston, by Merle Armitage and Jean Charlot (New York: E. Weyhe) was published in 1932.

During a visit to Zohmah Day in Los Angeles in 1933, Charlot met the printer Lynton R. Kistler and, after completing a color lithograph with Kistler, proposed the production of Picture Book, "a repertory of motifs I had used up to then," done on offset lithograph presses. Picture Book, devoted almost entirely to Mexican themes and containing thirty-two color lithographs (each c. 6" x 8") in an edition of five hundred, was published

Jean Charlot and Zohmah Day
Carmel, 1933
Photograph by Edward Weston
© 1981 Arizona Board of Regents, Center for Creative Photography
by the Will A. Kistler Co. in 1933. At the same time, Charlot produced several other lithographs on stone and taught at Chouinard School of Art. He had an opportunity to visit with his close friend, photographer Edward Weston, whom he had known earlier in Mexico, before returning to New York in April, 1934. In New York he completed a WPA/FAP-commissioned wall painting in fresco (since destroyed) titled Head Crowned with Laurels (16" x 20"), symbolizing education, in a niche at the entrance hall of Strauben-Muller Textile High School. In 1935, he began teaching at the small, progressive Florence Cane School of Art at Rockefeller Center, where he conducted classes in fresco and lithography.

In the following two years, 1936-37, Charlot continued to teach at Cane School while producing a variety of works. Among these are the series Tortilleras and Lavanderas and other paintings on Mexican themes, and twenty-three portrait illustrations, in original lithographs, for Hilaire Belloc's Characters of the Reformation (New York: Sheed and Ward, 1936). Charlot also compiled a catalogue raisonné of his graphic productions to date. In these years, fourteen large easel paintings of the Way of the Cross were completed, which were later installed at St. Cyprian Catholic Church in River Grove, Illinois. In the summer of 1937 he lectured at Columbia University and taught at the Art Students League. In October, 1937, he arrived for another stay in Los Angeles.

Teaching and lecturing occupied much of Charlot's time in 1938: at Chouinard Art School, Stendahl Galleries, Disney Studios ("Pictures

---

**Muse Nude**
May 1922
pencil on paper
11 1/2" x 8 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Nacho Asunciolo**
July 1922
pencil on paper
12" x 9"
Charlot Family Collection

**Diego Rivera**
August 1922
pencil on paper
11 3/4" x 8 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Xavier Guerrero**
1922
pencil on paper
11 7/8" x 8 7/8"
Charlot Family Collection

**Man with Cigarette (Trinidad)**
1922
oil on canvas
34" x 24"
Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Harnage

**After Metamorphosis d'Ovide**
1922
drypoint
4 1/4" x 5 1/2"
Charlot Collection

**Lao**
1922
pencil and watercolor on paper
9" x 9"
Charlot Family Collection
and Picture Making") and, in New York, at the Art Students League, Columbia University and the Brooklyn Museum. A Nativity painting was commissioned for the cover of TIME magazine (December 26, 1938), and Charlot did magazine illustration work for Commonweal. For thirty years, from 1938-68, Charlot was an artist for the New York publishing company Sheed and Ward, producing many book covers and illustrations.

In May 1939, Jean Charlot and Zohmah Day were married in San Francisco. "It was a long courtship," commented Charlot. "Eight years. We were always in different places" (In Morse 1976:76). In the summer he taught at the University of Iowa and while working on lithographs there became friendly with Grant Wood. Two murals were completed in 1939: St. Christopher (fresco, 10' x 2') at the University of Iowa and Life of St. Bridget, in oil on canvas (two panels, each 6 3/4' x 16 1/2'), for the Church of St. Bridget in Peapack, New Jersey. A series of lithographs, developed after pencil, watercolor, and oil studies, illustrated Prosper Mérimée's Carmen (New York: Limited Editions Club, 1940). Process prints in three colors after pen and ink drawings illustrated the Limited Editions Club's Henry the Sixth, Part III, by William Shakespeare (New York: 1940). Charlot also did illustrations for Harpers Magazine and Hearst Publications. Teaching included classes at the Art Students League, the College of Notre Dame and the University of Iowa summer session, where he demonstrated the fresco technique in a mural Mother with Cradle in the Fine Arts Building. Separate exhibitions, "Recent Paintings," primarily of Mexican subject matter, and "Religious Paintings," were presented at the Bonestell Gallery in New York.
A collection of various articles by Charlot, *Art from the Mayans to Disney* (New York: Sheed and Ward, 1939), was published. The Charlot's first child, Ann Maria, was born in 1940 followed a year later by a son, John Pierre. Also in 1940, Charlot applied for and was accorded American citizenship. A dual citizen of the United States and France, he retained passports from both countries. Charlot contributed to the (World War II) French war effort by working in a New York liaison office taking orders for military equipment. When France fell to the Germans, he received an official letter of discharge.

The years from 1941-44 were spent as artist-in-residence at the University of Georgia, Athens, at the invitation of Lamar Dodd, who had been Charlot's student at the Art Students League. Funded by the Carnegie Institution, Charlot was required only to give informal art instruction to students and was primarily involved in local mural projects. These included *Cotton Gin* (oil on canvas, 4 1/2' x 11') at the McDonough, Georgia Post Office, and *Visual Arts, Drama, Music* (fresco, 9' x 46' overall) in the Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia (Illus. pp. 150-151).

Several months of preparatory work was necessary for the latter project though the actual painting took Charlot and his assistants only eleven days. Three fresco murals, *Time Discloses All Things, Cortez Lands in Mexico*, and *Paratroopers Land in Sicily* (11' x 66' overall) were painted in the corridor of the Journalism Building, University of Georgia. *Inspiration and Study*, (fresco panels, each 5' x 5'), were painted at the New Studies Building, Black Mountain College, North Carolina, where Charlot taught a summer session. In 1945 a book, *Charlot*
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**Lupe Marín**
1922
pencil on paper
11 3/4' x 8 3/4'
Charlot Family Collection

**Don Pancho, Coyoteón**
1922
colored woodcut
8' x 6'
Charlot Collection

**Man with Mustache**
1922
woodcut
8' x 6'
Charlot Collection

**Diego Rivera**
1922
pencil on paper
11 3/4' x 8 3/4'
Charlot Collection

**Diego á la Preparatoria**
1922
pencil on paper
11 3/4' x 8 3/4'
Charlot Family Collection

**Saiit John, after El Greco**
1922
woodcut
15 1/2' x 11 1/2'
Charlot Collection

**Diagram for the mural Massacre in the Main Temple, Preparatoria, Mexico City**
1922
pencil and ink on paper
19 1/2' x 33'
Charlot Collection
Murals in Georgia, with introduction by Lamar Dodd, photographs by Eugene Payor and commentaries by Jean Charlot, was published by the University of Georgia Press. A third child, Martin Day, was born to the Charlots in Georgia in 1944.

In summer 1942, Charlot was an instructor in art history at the University of California, Berkeley. He participated in the Corcoran Biennial and exhibitions at The Phillips Collection in Washington, D.C., the University of Louisiana, the Stendhal Gallery, Los Angeles, and the Weyhe Gallery in New York. Among various periodical and book illustrations were twelve color lithographs for Margaret Wise Brown's A Child's Good Night Book (New York: William R. Scott, Inc., first edition, 1943). In the spring semester 1944 Charlot was artist-in-residence at Smith College in Northampton, Massachusetts.

A Guggenheim Fellowship award for the book The Mexican Mural Renaissance (New Haven: Yale University Press, first edition 1963), required Charlot to return to Mexico in 1945 to research the topic. He remained there for two years renewing friendships with Mexican artists—especially Carlos Mérida, Alfredo Zalce, and Pablo O'Higgins. A third son, Peter Francis, was born in 1946. The theme of mother and child in a Mexican folk environment is a favorite of Charlot's (Illus. p. 130). This motif was depicted in a 1946 series of lithographs titled Mexihcanantli (Mexican Mother). Charlot was further instructed in the Náhuatl language at the Museum of Anthropology and wrote a puppet play in Náhuatl, Mouentihke Chaltman.
(Pilgrims of Chalma), for use by the Mexican government in educating non-Spanish speaking village people.
(Published in *Mele*, Honolulu: 1969, in Náhuatl, Spanish and English).

Upon his return to the United States, Charlot taught at Chouinard in the summer of 1947, following which he assumed the directorship of the School of Art at the Colorado Springs Fine Arts Center, remaining in this position until 1949. He served as guest curator for the anniversary exhibition of the American Institute of Graphic Arts, “American Printmaking, 1913-1947: A Retrospective Exhibition,” at the Brooklyn Museum and also wrote the introductory essay to the catalogue.

An invitation to create a fresco at the University of Hawai'i, Manoa, brought Charlot to Honolulu in 1949 where he painted *Relation of Man and Nature in Old Hawai'i* (10' x 29') on the first

*Relation of Man and Nature in Old Hawai'i*
Detail from mural at Bachman Hall, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, 1949
fresco
10' x 29'

---

**Drawing for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
watercolor and pencil on paper
17 5/16" x 32"
Charlot Collection

**Drawing of Head, full scale, for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
pencil on paper
31 1/8" x 24 3/4"
Charlot Collection

**Drawing of Head, full scale, for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
pencil on paper
17 1/2" x 21 3/4"
Charlot Collection

**Drawing of Horse's Head, full scale, for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
pencil on paper
27 1/2" x 29"
Charlot Collection

**Drawing of Dog, full scale, for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
pencil on paper
26" x 32"
Charlot Collection

**Drawing of Hand, full scale, for Massacre in the Main Temple**
1922
pencil on paper
21" x 12 3/4"
Charlot Collection
floor of the administration building, Bachman Hall. He accepted a position as professor of art at the University, and Hawai‘i became the Charlot family’s permanent home. Charlot found himself greatly attracted to the culture of the native Hawaiian, just as he had been interested in the folk aspects of the residents of rural France and the indigenous peoples of Mexico. He studied Hawaiian history, customs, and religion and learned the Hawaiian language, writing plays in Hawaiian: *Na Lono Elua* (Two Lonos), 1965, a three-act bilingual play about Captain Cook, also published as a one-act play in English, and *Laukiamanuiakahiki* (Snare That Lures a Farflung Bird), a short bilingual play in Hawaiian and English in 1964. (The latter was published with *Two Lonos* by the University of Hawai‘i Press in 1976 with the title *Two Hawaiian Plays.*) Three Plays of Ancient Hawai‘i, in English, are *Na‘auo* (The Light Within), *U‘i A U‘i* (Beauty Meets Beauty), and *Moa A Mo‘i* (Chicken into King), also with illustrations by Charlot (Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press, 1963).

From 1949 to 1979 Charlot created almost six hundred easel paintings, several hundred prints, and thirty-six works of art in public places in fresco, ceramic tile and sculpture. He taught summer sessions at several schools, among them San Diego State College (1950), Arizona State University (1951) and the University of Notre Dame (1955 and 1956). In 1950 he was made faculty advisor to the Newman Club, the Catholic student organization of the University of Hawai‘i.

Selected exhibitions include the Charlot retrospective, “Fifty Years, 1916-66,”
at the Honolulu Academy of Arts (1966); a retrospective in his honor, "Obras Pictóricas de Jean Charlot," at the Museo del Arte Moderno in Mexico City (1968), which was part of the cultural program of the XIX Olympiad; "Paintings, Drawings and Lithographs by Jean Charlot," at the Georgia Museum of Arts, University of Georgia (1976); and the "Jean Charlot Retrospective," (1976), sponsored by the Hawai‘i State Foundation on Culture and the Arts to honor the artist on his 78th birthday and to mark the publication of the catalogue raisonné, _Jean Charlot's Prints_, by Peter Morse (Honolulu: University of Hawai‘i Press, 1976).

Via Crucis, a lithographic series intended for display in smaller churches, was printed by Lynton Kistler in 1956. Fifteen color lithographs were created by Charlot to illustrate Thornton Wilder's The Bridge of San Luis Rey (New York: Limited Editions Club, 1962). Picture Book II, published by Kistler in 1972, is a print portfolio with thirty-two lithographs (6" x 8") in nine colors of Mexican, Hawaiian, Fijian and religious motifs. Also printed in 1972 was a series of etchings made of images Charlot had created in sculpture for the Moanalua Intermediate School in Honolulu, and the following year he completed a hotel commission of eight serigraphs with Fijian themes. In 1978, Kistler printed the five-color lithographs, Kei Viti: Melanesian Images and a new edition of Chemin de Croix from the original 1920 woodblocks.

Charlot's talents were also realized in the medium of sculpture. Among the works in public collections is a statue of Father Damien (45") cast in 1967 in bronze and installed at St. Anthony's Church, Wailuku, Maui, in 1980. With Evelyn Giddings, he created an 8' copper plate champlevé enamel sculpture for Moanalua Intermediate School in Honolulu titled In Praise of Petroglyphs (1972-73). Charlot sculptures in ceramic are Madonna and Child (5) at St. Francis Hospital, Honolulu (1959); Sacred Heart (75"), St. William's Church, Hanalei, Kaua'i (1969); Ali'i Nui, or High Chief (9), Ala Moana Hotel, Honolulu (1971) and Madonna and Child (15') for Maryknoll School, Honolulu (1978-79).

Charlot also employed ceramic for murals: fourteen ceramic tile panels, each
2' x 4', were used in Way of the Cross for St. Sylvester's Church, Kilauea, Kaua'i (1956) and another Way of the Cross, 3' x 2' in ceramic tile, for St. Catherine's Church in Kapa'a, Kaua'i in 1958. Ceramic tile panels were used both indoors and outdoors at St. Francis Hospital to illustrate various religious topics (1959). St. Gabriël, a 3' x 2' ceramic tile panel, was made in 1959 to be placed over the entrance of St. Gabriel's Church in Charlotte, North Carolina. Other ceramic tile murals are Night Hula (9' x 15') at the Tradewind Apartments, Honolulu, in 1961, and seven exterior panels (four 11' x 13' and three 8' x 13'), depicting workers on the job and various union activities on the School Street façade of the United Public Workers Building, Honolulu (1970-75).

A mosaic mural, Pietà (6 1/4' x 10 3/4'), for the Parish Center of St. John the Evangelist Church, Morristown, New York, was unveiled in 1962. Way of the Cross, a Styrofoam reverse sculpture consisting of fourteen panels, each 20' x 16', was cast in situ with the cement wall of the Church of St. John Apostle and Evangelist, Mililani, O'ahu, in 1971. Episodes from the Life of Christ, thirty-two copper repoussé panels, each 18" x 18", were executed in collaboration with Evelyn Giddings for the doors of Thurston Chapel, Punahou School, in 1967-75.

Charlott employed yet another medium, acrylic on Masonite, for a series of nine panels, Mayan Warriors, for the 1970 Flora Pacifica Exhibition in Honolulu. A mural in acrylic on Masonite, Musicians of Old Hawai'i (two panels, 16' x 8'), was painted for the Harbor Square Apartments in Honolulu in 1971.
Charlot's greatest legacy may be his murals in fresco. Among these are: *Hopi Snake Dance* and *Preparing Anti-Venom Serum* (25' x 25'), Administration Building, Arizona State University, Tempe (1951); *Fresco Class in Action* (11' x 25'), and *Mestrovic's Studio* (9' x 25') in the Student Lounge, O'Shaughnessy Building, University of Notre Dame (1955 and 1956). Also, at St. Mary's College, Notre Dame, Charlot executed fourteen panels symbolizing the Fine Arts (each 3' x 3') for O'Loughlin Auditorium (1955) and *The Fire of Creation* (5' x 5') in Moreau Hall (1956). *Psalm of the Good Shepherd* (c. 16' x 24'), was painted for the Church of the Good Shepherd, Lincoln Park, Michigan (1955); *Inspiration of the Artist* (14' x 16'), for the Des Moines, Iowa, Art Center (1956) and *Calvary* (34' x 32') for St. Leonard Center, Centerville, Ohio (1958). Fresco murals for St. Benedict's Abbey, Atchison, Kansas (1959), are: *Trinity* and *Episodes of Benedictine Life* (21' x 29'), Monastic Chapel; *St. Joseph's Workshop* (4 1/2' x 6 1/2'), Brothers' Chapel; and *Our Lady of Guadalupe* and the *Four Apparitions* (9 3/4' x 12') for the Abbey Crypt. Also in 1959 *Christ as the Vine, with Saints* (11' x 15') was painted for the Oratory of St. Philip Neri, Rock Hill, South Carolina. *Village Fiesta* (9' x 45'), for the Shaw Dormitory at Syracuse University was accompanied by a related film (1960). On the ceiling and apsidal wall of the Church of Our Lady of Sorrows, Farmington, Michigan, Charlot painted the frescoes *Our Lady of Sorrows* and *The Ascension of Our Lord* (c. 1300 sq. ft.) in 1961. In 1963 Charlot made a trip to Fiji and painted *Black Christ and Worshipers* (10' x 30') over the main altar of St. Francis Xavier Church at Naiserelagi, and the side panels *St. Joseph's Workshop* and *The Annunciation* (each 10' x 12').
Residents of Hawai‘i enjoy viewing many of Charlot’s fresco murals in locations throughout the State. *Early Contacts of Hawai‘i with the Outer World* (11' x 67') was painted in 1951-52 at the Waikiki branch of Bishop Bank. (This later became First National and then First Hawaiian Bank.) In 1966, when the building was destroyed, this mural was divided into smaller panels (Illus. p. 157). Charlot executed
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**Luz**

January 1924

pencil on paper

11 3/4” x 8 7/8”

Charlot Family Collection

---

**Luz at Table**

February 1924

oil on canvas

14” x 10 3/4”

Charlot Family Collection

**Marchanta Selling Corn on the Cob**

February 1924

oil on canvas

14” x 11”

Charlot Family Collection

**Portrait of Siqueiras**

February 1924

ink on tissue

18 7/8” x 13 7/8”

Charlet Collection

**Nahui Olin**

February 1924

watercolor

12” x 9”

Charlot Family Collection

---

**Luz with Basket**

February 1924

oil on canvas

14” x 10 3/4”

Charlot Family Collection

**Luz**

April 1924

black and red conté crayon on paper

15” x 11”

Charlot Family Collection

---

*Charlot Painting Early Contacts of Hawai‘i with the Outer World*

Bishop Bank, Waikiki, 1952

Photograph by Joseph Martin
Commencement (10’ x 36’), on the second floor of Bachman Hall, University of Hawai‘i (1953); Chief’s Canoe (8’ x 20’), Catamaran Cafe, Hilton Hawaiian Village Hotel, Honolulu (painted in 1956; since removed from the wall); Compassionate Christ (10’ x 7’), St. Catherine’s Church, Kapa’a, Kaua‘i (1958); Inspiration, Study, Creation (15’ x 16’), Jefferson Hall, East-West Center, Honolulu (1967); Battle of the Malinches (4’ x 8’), Maryknoll Elementary School, Honolulu (1967); Angels in Adoration (10’ x 19’), Grace Episcopal Church, Ho‘olehua, Moloka‘i (1967). In 1974, Charlot painted the fresco mural The Relation of Man and Nature in Old Hawai‘i (23’ x 104’) at Leeward Community College, O‘ahu and, in 1978 another fresco for Maryknoll Elementary School, Christ and the Samaritan Woman at the Well (5’ x 4’).

Charlot retired from the University of Hawai‘i as Senior Professor Emeritus in 1966. Two years later, he traveled to France for the first time since 1921 and, at Malzéville and Paris, created a series of lithographs. In 1968 the Jean Charlot Foundation was established in Honolulu to collect source materials relating to the life, work, art, philosophy, and values of Jean Charlot and promote publication of Charlot material. The Foundation, which also has as its stated purpose the “development of interest in the arts, encouragement of artists, and study of art,” has sponsored art exhibitions and other art events, and presented various scholarships and prizes for excellence in art to Hawai‘i artists.

Among the honors bestowed on Charlot was the election by the Royal Society of Art, London, as a Benjamin Franklin Fellow in 1972. In 1976, the Hawai‘i State Legislature presented
Charlot with the Order of Distinction for Cultural Leadership. In June of that year, Charlot was among a distinguished group of persons recognized by the Living Treasure Committee, sponsored by Honpa Hongwanji Mission, for “contributions to Hawai‘i’s culture and the preservation of Hawaiiana.” Charlot, known as “Palani” among his Hawaiian friends, was named a “Living Treasure” for his paintings and murals showing Hawai‘i’s culture.

Jean Charlot
Honolulu, 1977
Photograph by Francis Haar
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**Luz**
May 1924
black and red conté crayon on paper
15" x 11"
Charlot Family Collection

**Luz**
June 1924
black and red conté crayon on paper
15" x 11"
Charlot Family Collection

**Portrait of Pintao**
June 1924
oil on canvas
14" x 10 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Luz Seated, Small Wall**
July 1924
oil on canvas
14" x 10 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Luz**
August 1924
red conté crayon on paper
20" x 12 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

**Luz**
September 1924
watercolor
12" x 9 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Still Life: Metate**
September 1924
oil on canvas
14" x 10 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection
In 1974, Charlot was diagnosed as having cancer of the prostate. Radiation treatments and chemotherapy would keep the disease under control for the next four years. Confined to a wheelchair during the last months of his life, Charlot nonetheless remained active as an artist and a scholar until his death on March 20, 1979. His last article on Posada ("José Guadalupe Posada and His Successors," in the catalogue Posada’s Mexico, edited by Ron Tyler for the Library of Congress and Amon Carter Museum of Western Art, 1979) was published posthumously; the catalogue and exhibition were dedicated to Charlot’s memory.

Jean Charlot—distinguished artist, teacher, art historian, author, philosopher—was eulogized by the Hawai‘i State Legislature in House Concurrent Resolution 153 which “enshrines the memory of Jean Charlot in the hearts and minds of the people of Hawai‘i.”

Notes

1. In true fresco, pigments suspended in water are applied to fresh, wet plaster. (In the Preparatoria, Charlot used a mix of lime, sand and cement.) In the drying process, the colors amalgamate with the plaster; the painting literally becomes part of the wall or panel. True, or buon fresco, is a much more permanent technique than fresco secco, a method of painting on dry plaster with pigments mixed in a binding medium, which can result in flaking.
This essay is based on recollections of the Charlot family, publications (see Bibliography) and other material contained in the Charlot Collection, Hamilton Library, University of Hawai‘i, the catalogue Jean Charlot: Books, Portfolios and Murals by Zehmah Charlot, and a chronology compiled by Laurence P. Hurlburt. All quotations are Jean Charlot's unless otherwise noted.
The Formation of the Artist:  
Jean Charlot's French Period

by John Charlot

Jean Charlot's French period—from his birth on February 7, 1898, to his departure for Mexico in the early 1920s—was crucial for his development and for our understanding of his art and personality.

For all his varied ethnic background—French, Russian, Spanish, Jewish, and Aztec Indian—my father identified himself as French. Towards the end of his life, on being honored by the Alliance Française of Honolulu, he ended his speech of thanks by stating that, despite all his travels and his feelings for the places he had lived in, he still remembered his home in France. He then recited the famous poem of Joachim du Bellay in which he calls that person happy
who after a long voyage can return to his home; for the
dwelling of his forefathers pleases the poet more than the
grandeur of Rome:

*Et plus que l’air marin la douceur Angevine.*

(And more than the air of the sea the sweetness
of Anjou.)

As an artist, Charlot
considered himself a member of the tradition of French
classicism, which he traced through Poussin, Ingres,
and Cézanne. He felt there were definite characteristics
of French art that could be found also in his own work.
One such characteristic was an interest in and respect
for the object itself rather than as a mere pretext for
stylistic exercise. He once contrasted Toulouse-Lautrec’s
portraits to the work of Piero della Francesca; the
Italian was more willing, he argued, to sacrifice the
peculiarities of his subject to the demands of his
geometric experiments.

Moreover, Charlot
believed that certain artistic sensibilities were
peculiarly French. Once, when we were on our way
to the Detroit Institute of the Arts, he told me how
excited he was at the prospect of seeing Poussin’s
*Diana and Endymion.* When we arrived before the
painting, he gazed at it with enormous admiration
and delight. Then turning to me, he said that I was
more puzzled than responsive. With some exasperation,
he blurted out, “You have to be French to understand
it!” Later, when I asked him what he saw in the work,
he explained how unusual it was at that time to do a
painting “based entirely on color,” an unusual view
of the piece.
Like many artists, Charlot remained close to his childhood. In a set of lecture notes on points of view, he describes a child who, as he grows, first sees a table from underneath, then sees the thickness of the table from the side, and finally sees the surface of the table top spreading out before him as his eyes emerge above it. Charlot based this passage on his own memories.

Similarly, he had been impressed as a child by a bronze horse of Antoine Louis Barye that had been placed on a dresser in his room. The figure was small, but the horse seemed monumental to Charlot as he looked up at it from his bed. During his final illness, he asked that the same bronze be put on the Korean cabinet next to his bed, reproducing, as he told me, his childhood point of view.

When my father visited Paris in 1968—the first time he had returned after leaving in 1921 for Mexico—we walked together to look at the apartment building in which he had grown up. I suggested we climb the stairs and knock on his old door. “I’m afraid I’d answer it,” he said with a laugh.

Charlot had a life-long interest in children’s art, which represented for him something essential in human nature. All young children are good artists, he said, but very few twelve year olds. At some point, the child’s inborn artistic nature was destroyed, and a break was made between the child and the adult. This did not happen to Charlot.
For this reason perhaps, Charlot kept and carried with him through his many relocations a large amount of material from his childhood. Among his own art works, the two earliest surviving drawings are dated 1900, and large numbers of drawings, cut-outs, and paintings in different media are available from the following years—forming, indeed, a continuous sequence until his death in 1979. Charlot’s earliest attempts at forming the alphabet can be found among these sheets, and his productive career as a writer can be

Jean Charlot and his sister Odette
Paris, 1902

Back, Woman
November 1924
color crayon on paper
9 1/4” x 12 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

In Praise of the Rebozo
December 1924
oil on canvas
14” x 10 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

Seated Nude, Back
1924
color crayon on paper mounted on paper
19 3/4” x 12 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

List Arzubide
1924
pencil on paper
13 7/8” x 9 7/8”
Charlot Collection

Cover illustration for the book
_Urbe: Super-poeme bolchevique en 5 cantos, by Manuel Maples Arce
1924
color woodcut
5 1/2” x 5 3/4”
Charlot Collection

Portrait of Manuel Maples Arce
1924
woodcut
6 3/4” x 4 3/4”
Chariot Collection

Los ricos en el infierno
1924
woodcut
13” x 10 1/2”
Chariot Collection
followed in some detail through such documents as his letters (the earliest is dictated to a family member), notes, a handmade newsletter on ballooning, and his earliest published articles on the visual arts. Many of these writings are very personal poems, prime documents for our knowledge of his thinking and emotional state. Their number increases during his army service in World War I, when writing was more practical than painting, and production continues through the early Mexican period and sporadically thereafter. Finally, Charlot and his sister Odette, who remained in France, preserved a large number of family documents, including art works by other family members, books, and illustrations of art works that had impressed him. He was able to give me a good deal of information about these materials in a series of interviews I conducted with him in the early 1970s. The entire collection is a uniquely rich resource for the general study of artistic development. I will sketch only a few aspects of Jean Charlot's own formation.

In the earliest photographs of Charlot as an infant and child, he is clearly strabismic. This handicap is now treated by exercise. In Charlot's case, an eye muscle was cut and resewn, an operation that involved a long and painful recuperation, which, along with other things, made him acutely aware of his vision. In fact, Charlot was never capable of true binocular vision or depth perception. This had an obvious impact on his style, notably in those pictures in which the background is treated as a geometric design almost parallel to the picture plane while depth is created by the three-dimensional modeling of the bodies.
Moreover, each of Charlot’s eyes had a different type of vision. In one, his sight was very sharp, but the colors, though present, were very light, in fact, almost tones. In the other eye, the colors were unusually vivid, but the focus was weak. Curiously, these differences reproduce the debate in French art history between the Poussinists, advocates of line, and the Rubenists, champions of color; a false controversy in Charlot’s view. Much of his art can be described as a—certainly conscious—exploration of the relation between line and color. For instance, in his mid-1960s series, *Tying Child to Chair*, Charlot traced the same outline drawing onto a set of canvases (imitating his fresco technique of incising the key lines into the wet mortar) and then colored each one differently. In this series, the color often works against the line: the cloth wrapped around the child is drawn three-dimensionally, but its strong and uniform color acts to preserve the two-dimensional picture plane. Throughout his work, Charlot used color in non-realistic, expressive ways.

More fundamentally, Charlot’s problems with his eyes convinced him of the artificiality of sight and the conventionality of its representation in art. Italian perspective was no more natural than architectural renderings; Charlot often quoted a teacher who described Italian perspective as the view of a man with his head nailed to a wall and one eye poked out. Accordingly, he chose to explore other methods, conventions, or aesthetics. These were, however, connected to other cultures, other ways of seeing, expressing, and living. That is, Charlot’s artistic search led to his questioning the society in which he was
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**Reyes Perez**
1924
sanguine (brown chalk) on paper
11 3/4" x 8 1/4"
Charlot Collection

**Gonzalez Rojo**
1924
sanguine on paper
15" x 11"
Charlot Collection

**Nahui Olin**
1924
red and brown chalk on paper
12 7/8" x 9 7/8"
Charlot Family Collection

**Tine Modotti**
1924
pencil on paper
11 1/4" x 8 7/8"
Charlot Collection

**Dansean á n.s. (nuestra señora) de los Remedios**
1924
watercolor
12 5/8" x 9 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Boys Playing**
c. 1924
watercolor and pencil on paper
9 3/8" x 8 7/8"
Charlot Family Collection

**Manuel Martínez Pintado**
c. 1934
ink and pencil on paper
18 1/2" x 12"
Charlot Family Collection
being reared and to his exploration of different ways of life. Ultimately, Charlot connected ways of seeing to ways of thinking and decided to use his art to make people see and thus think differently.

A striking quality of Charlot's childhood art work is its abundant imagination, which coexists with a precocious knowledge of the history of art. Throughout his life, that imagination could reveal itself in sometimes disturbing ways. When he read the newspaper report of the accidental death of a blind university colleague, he remarked, "It must be terrible to be a blind man in a burning car."

His imagination was naturally connected to his appreciation of art. In the mid-1950s, we were invited to tea at the New York apartment of a former patron of his. I noticed that my father was being unusually reticent (and I wanted him to shine). Suddenly, without a word, he got up and walked over to a Van Gogh landscape hanging on the other side of the room. He looked at it for a while and then resumed uncomfortably his seat. When on leaving I asked him what was wrong, he said, "I couldn't think of anything but Van Gogh's ear."

Dreams were inevitably important for him. At a dinner with a psychiatrist in the early 1960s, he recalled dreaming as an adolescent of leaving his bed, going down to the servants' quarters, and pounding with both fists on the door of the maid's bedroom. More unusual, he found as a youngster that he could dream in continuity; he could take up at night the dream he had awakened from in the morning. He then
found that he could control his dreaming, creating situations in order to experience them. For instance, he wondered what it would be like to have his head cut off and then visit his family and friends holding it under his arm. He stopped such experiments when he found himself being drawn ever more strongly into his dream world and detaching himself from his family and friends to return early to bed.

Throughout his life, his dreams could be both disturbing—for instance, soft, unattractive faces pressed up to his—and involving.

Anne Gaspil Charlot, the Artist’s Mother
Mexico, 1920s

Seated Mother and Child
n.d.
watercolor
8” x 6”
Charlot Family Collection

Still Life with Hearts
January 1925
oil on canvas
11” x 14”
Charlot Family Collection

Dance of the Pastores, Chalma I
March 1925
oil on canvas
14” x 10 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

Still Life with Watermelon
May 1925
oil on canvas
14” x 10 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

Paloma, Hope (Noah’s Deve)
May 1925
oil on canvas
10 3/4” x 14”
Charlot Family Collection

Church Patio, Cuernavaca
June 1925
oil on canvas
14” x 10 3/4”
Charlot Family Collection

Hand Painting
June 1925
oil on canvas
10 3/4” x 14”
Charlot Family Collection
While I was assisting him on the 1958 fresco Compassionate Christ on Kaua'i, he and I shared a hotel room. One morning, he lingered in bed, rather than getting right up. When I asked him why, he said he had been dreaming of doing a fresco with the subtlest, most beautiful colors. I said I thought those of Compassionate Christ were among his best. “These were much better,” he said, and lay a little longer thinking about them.

Charlot's imagination seems to have been connected to his unusual capacity for insight into other cultures. After a visit to Fiji, he experienced a remarkable surge of production, mostly connected to Fijian themes and sights. One afternoon, he found a painting taking shape under his fingers, the subject of which he couldn't place: a frightened Fijian mixing a bowl of kava in the forest at night. When he showed the painting to some Fijians studying in Hawai'i, they asked him with some surprise how he knew about that: he had depicted a sorcerer at work, hiding in the forest, afraid of the night, but anxious not to be detected and killed.

Charlot was interested in the workings of his mind, in the process of creativity, and once sought a grant to describe it fully: “I really thought I could paint a picture and describe what was going on inside of me at the same time.” In his youth, however, such unusual experiences must have been problematic. He early made notes on a book about the relation of art to madness.

A stabilizing as well as inspiring force was religion. Charlot's mother was an unusually devout Roman Catholic, perhaps even mystical.
His father was a free-thinker, with connections to the Masons. As a result, religion in Charlot’s family was both strong and challenged. Moreover, because of his multi-ethnic family background, he was exposed early to other religions. He remembered staying as a very young child at his Jewish relatives’ home and being impressed by a fearsome painting of Moses descending with the tablets from Mount Sinai; “It gave me the idea that other people could be equally serious about their religion.”

Aside from an adolescent and only too successful experiment with turning tables (a then fashionable psychic exercise of making a table move by the laying on of hands), Charlot’s religious practice was exclusively Catholic. He declined, for instance, an invitation to attend a secret Mexican Indian ceremony, while working as an archeologist in Yucatán. Nonetheless, he sometimes had experiences that would be recognized, for example, by Pacific Islanders as traditionally religious. His series of pictures in several media of pandanus trees growing from lava rocks on which they shed their leaves—against the strong backlight of the sun on the sea—was inspired by hearing drums while sitting in such a grove.

In his childhood and adolescence, Charlot was attracted by mysticism. He had long conversations with an old, indigent woman who had mystical experiences. One day, on entering her room, he found her in a trance and drew her portrait as she slowly returned from it. He took notes on mystical works and was a lifelong admirer of John of the Cross and Teresa of Avila. That his own religious life did not follow such a direction reveals the strength of three countervailing aspects of his character.
The first was his feeling for the power of the physical and visual. The mystic whom he found most useful was the most visual: Anne-Catherine Emmerich. He gravitated toward the physicality of Catholicism—the ashes smeared on the forehead, the eatable bread of the Eucharist. The central expression of Catholicism was liturgical, a physical expression of the religious, appropriate to a religion of Incarnation. His own art would be liturgical even when the subject matter did not seem to be religious.

The second aspect of his character that turned him away from mysticism was the desire—felt by many extraordinary people—to identify himself with ordinary human beings. In both his art and his life, he avoided elitism and the elite. He wanted his religion to be that of the parishioner. This was not, however, condescension. My father once told me, during a period of my own religious enthusiasm, that he had set out to go through the heavenly mansions, the stages of mystical experience, described by Teresa of Avila: “I started with the first and worked myself slowly through each mansion. And then, when I finally entered the last, I found I was back in the first!” For Charlot, the lives of ordinary people were the ones based most clearly and immediately on the great problems and mysteries of life. Their lives were thus the most revelatory, as were the symbols he distilled from them. In Work and Rest, as the Indian mother on her knees grinds the corn to make tortillas, the child on her back is lulled to sleep (Illus. p. 144).

This lifelong identification with the people, in whichever culture he found himself, is
an essential characteristic of Charlot as an artist and a man. This attitude, of course, part of the Christian emphasis on the poor in body and in spirit, an attitude emphasized by the French Catholic Renaissance of Charlot's youth, with its renewed interest in addressing social problems and in using liturgy, art, and literature to bring the Christian message to the people. Charlot was an admirer of such writers as Léon Bloy and Jacques Maritain, who based their radical social reformism on a stringently interpreted Christianity.

Charlot's attitude can, however, be traced more deeply into his childhood. Reared in the highly conventional world of the French upper middle class, the grandes bourgeois, Charlot reacted strongly against its dehumanizing artificiality. His perception of it was characteristically aesthetic. He remembered one of his aunts stooping to kiss him; to reach her lips high above him, he had to pass level after level of silks, flounces, laces, and furbelows to reach a face set almost undiscoverable amidst its furs, veils, and far-reaching hat. From that world, he could descend into the kitchen, where the old cook, to whom he was devoted, would sit in her simple peasant's clothing, still shaped by a long folk tradition. Later in Mexico, faced with a general pro-Spanish prejudice against the indigenous people and culture, Charlot would fix on clothing as his point of attack: the grande dame in her imported finery looked ridiculous beside the classical simplicity of the Indian woman's garb. In his 1924 woodblock print, Los ricos en el infierno (The Rich in Hell) are all well dressed, at least in their expensive underwear (Illus. p. 122).
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1925
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1925
oil on canvas
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1925
red chalk on paper
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1925
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Finally, Charlot’s Catholicism directed him to identify his talents and put them to use for the good of others. He once considered joining a monastery in Mexico, but decided against it because it would have stopped him from practicing his art. That art, however, was not to be pursued for its own sake, but with a sense of mission, a sense that directed him early towards liturgical and then more particularly towards public and monumental art.

Charlot’s religious conception of work explains in part the energy and effort that resulted in his unusual productivity. Work was also, I believe, a further stabilizing factor in his life. In it, he could put his sensitivity to use. He once described Cézanne to me as a 19th century French bourgeois who was also a painter: “He kept a strictly normal outside to the world, while all the adventure took place in his studio.” By adventure, he meant “the experiments, the discoveries.” I felt my father was also describing himself: all his life, he avoided the mannerisms associated with artistic behavior. A relative who knew Charlot in childhood remembered him only as a good playmate at a time when he was engrossed in aesthetic and intellectual “adventures”: “He never talked about art to me.” When I asked my father why he hadn’t been interested in a student of his—an excellent artist, who told me she had chased him in the 1930s—he answered, “It was such a cliché for a French artist to come to America and marry a millionnaire—like wearing a beret.” Similarly, Charlot’s inartistic older sister Odette remembered that he had been very sweet to her when she was being neglected and he was being treated as the family star: “Without that, my life would have been horrible.”
Charlot's choice of career was not immediately clear. He was multitalented. For instance, he had scored very high in mathematics, which won him an appointment very young as an officer in the French artillery (most of the older officers had already been killed).

His three main interests emerged very early in his life. In scholarship, besides following the demanding French curriculum, he was pursuing his own research on Mesoamerican cultures.

**Drawing of a Running Bird**
Paris, 1900
Charlot Collection

---

- **Pino with Bowler Hat**
  1925
  oil on canvas
  28" x 22"
  Charlot Collection

- **Mestiza with Orange Fan**
  July 1926
  oil on canvas
  39" x 22" (frame size)
  Collection of Hawai'i State Foundation on Culture and the Arts, Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne

- **Lady with Hat**
  August 1926
  oil on canvas
  32" x 23"
  Charlot Family Collection

- **Nude Back**
  December 1926
  oil on canvas
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  Charlot Family Collection

- **Mother and Child**
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  oil on canvas
  39" x 25"
  Charlot Family Collection

- **Anita Brenner**
  1929
  red chalk on paper
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  Charlot Family Collection

- **Duel of the Mulinches**
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  oil on canvas
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Medieval and early Renaissance literature, and art history. He gathered through his life an immense knowledge of a wide number of fields.

Secondly, his creative writing was so important to him that he had to make a conscious decision to become a visual artist rather than a poet. He would throughout his life return to creative writing, both poetry and drama.

Art, however, was from the earliest records a dominant interest. The two earliest surviving drawings are on a sheet dated 1900: a running bird and a still-life of a bottle on a table. Charlot remembered an earlier drawing of a dog running up a hill. His family had been astonished by it and had passed it around. Charlot's mother was an artist, and the family was quick to recognize and encourage his own work, providing art materials—including a large, red business ledger—and a tutor. Charlot could also study the books and Western, Chinese, and Mexican art works owned by the family. His first drawing of a person was a copy of one by Hokusai in Samuel Bing's *Le Japon Artistique* (Morse 1976:6). He would press his nose against the glass of a large cupboard in which were kept miniature folk sculptures of typical Mexican scenes. Visits to the Louvre and other museums left indelible impressions.

Charlot's parents kept his art works carefully, so his childhood progress can be followed step by step, a progress clarified by his later writings and interviews. He starts with pencil drawings and then begins to fill the outlines with watercolor. At one point he discovers that he can draw with a brush.
without using a preliminary pencil sketch, and the color explodes over the page. He then tries new ways of balancing his pencil line and watercoloring.

In his ledger, he seems often to be copying from memory paintings that had impressed him in museums: soldiers with shakos charging downhill; boats in full sail. He makes paper cut-outs, decorates his bedroom with continuous friezes, and illustrates books around their margins. As was his later practice, he often works in series. For instance, in his early adolescence, he did a number of paintings from his parents' Paris bedroom, once surprising them by being hard at work on a sunrise as they awoke, catching the way the sun seemed to cut into the side of a neighboring chimney; he had drawn the side in pencil and now painted over it a half-circle of light.

In his talks and interviews, Charlot often emphasized the emotion of art-making. In perhaps his first oil painting, Old Woman with Bonnet (Mathilde), c. 1911, a portrait of the family cook at Poissy, he started with her folk bonnet and continued down to her face and shoulders. When he painted in outline the curve of her breast, he experienced a strong sexual sensation and stopped, leaving the portrait unfinished. Similarly, he responded with strong emotions to the art works of others; as a child, he was frightened by the Egyptian gods in the Louvre.

Art was also a means of understanding. On the 1900 sheet can be seen two aspects of his art-making. The running bird is all verve, fantasy, and free strokes. The still life is a careful record

---

Studies from Carnegie Institution Archaeological Expedition to Chichen Itza, Yucatan 1926-28
Five works in watercolor and pencil on paper 10 7/8" x 8 3/8" One work in watercolor and pencil on paper 8 3/8" x 10 7/8" Charlot Family Collection

Workers (Archaeological Expedition Study) 1926 watercolor 19 3/8" x 13 3/8" Charlot Family Collection

Workers (Archaeological Expedition Study) 1926 watercolor 14 1/4" x 11" Charlot Family Collection

Untitled (mother and child) 1926 ink and conté crayon on paper 25 1/2" x 19 1/2" Charlot Family Collection

Untitled (mother and child) c. 1926 ink and conté crayon on paper 25 1/4" x 19 3/8" Charlot Family Collection

Jumna
October 1927 oil on canvas 29" x 23 1/2" Collection of Henry Blakstad, Jr.
of his observation. When Charlot saw the sheet in later life, he was more impressed by the latter drawing; he said he had obviously been looking very carefully at the object, which was unusual for a child around two years of age.

This close looking at an object in order to understand it is a characteristic of much of Charlot's work, especially the portraits and the nudes. A tragic example is the series of drawings done of his father as he was dying and then on his deathbed.

Henri Charlot, the Artist's Father
1914
Boarding the train in Germany to return to France
Henri Charlot and Jean had been in Germany when World War I broke out, the war they realized would destroy the family business. They caught the last train back to Paris, and as it made its slow, halting way, Henri Charlot had a nervous breakdown. Jean kept his father collected and helped him back home and into his bed, from which he would never rise again. As his father lay dying, fluctuating in lucidity, Jean sat by his bedside drawing portraits of a frightening intensity. I am reminded of Akira Kurosawa’s definition of an artist as one who doesn’t turn away. Similarly, Charlot’s gouache Bullet faces the on-coming missile directly as it shatters into abstraction the world around its target; the only way, Charlot told me, he could express that war experience.

Basic characteristics of Charlot’s expression are present in his work from the very beginning, most especially geometric composition. The 1900 bird runs at a dramatic diagonal; a diagonal is clearly implied in the earlier drawing of a dog running uphill. The 1900 still life has a table corner fitted to the shape of the paper. Geometric designs continue through the childhood drawings: abstract decorations, geometric objects like boats with triangular sails, and geometric compositions such as horses charging downhill, a precursor of Charlot’s first fresco, The Massacre in the Main Temple of 1922-23 (Illus. pp. 118-121). Such compositions support the graphic personality of the woodblock series of The Way of the Cross of 1918-20, with its dramatic diagonals. This lifelong emphasis on geometric composition is one of the reasons Charlot placed himself clearly and consciously in the French classical tradition. He considered Cubism, the modern art of his youth, merely the latest chapter in that tradition.

Luce
1927
watercolor
20” x 14”
Charlot Family Collection

Henrietta Shore
1927
pencil on paper
22” x 15”
Charlot Collection

Nude (to the right)
1927
woodcut
6 3/4” x 4 1/4”
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne

Nude II (to the left)
1927
woodcut
6 3/4” x 4 3/4”
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne

Nude III (with raised arms)
1927
woodcut
6” x 4 3/4”
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne

Pintou with Cap
1927
oil on canvas
36” x 28”
Charlot Family Collection

Great Builders I
1927
woodcut
19 3/4” x 12”
Charlot Collection
Charlot's own use of that tradition was not, however, hermetic; on the contrary, geometry should support legibility, a key objective of his art and element of his thinking. Charlot learned reading and writing at the same time he was working on his drawings, and he mixed letters and figures in a variety of ways, for instance, labeling parts of the picture or using letters and words as parts of the composition. In his liturgical work, he imitated Medieval lettered ribbons or scrolling, and in L'Amitié, the painting that sums up his French period, such a scroll is a prominent feature (Illus. p. 104). Charlot continued occasionally to use lettering throughout his career, notably in murals.

A number of key aesthetic decisions can be traced back to Charlot's early childhood. On a visit to the Louvre, he was frightened by the staring eyes of an Egyptian god. In his ledger, he drew a picture of the statue and then tried unsuccessfully to obliterate the large eyes by scrawling over them roughly with his pencil. The experience of the statue taught him, he said later, to avoid overly obvious and direct means of expressing the godhead. In the 1960s, a priest complained to me about my father's bronze crucifix: "Where's the face?" I responded that it was all over the body; that is, the whole work took on the expressiveness usually perceived only in the face. To use the face as one's prime means of expression was simply too easy.

Similarly, Charlot was uncomfortable using artistic techniques that did not present a challenge. Thus he used tempera for his portrait of his grandfather, the medium along with the style contributing to the unusual severity and seriousness—the
avoidance of stylistliness—of the work. Charlot would use tempera also for L'Amitié, rather than oils. In fact, throughout his career, Charlot set himself problems in new and difficult media. As a result, he was often a technical pioneer, as in the revival of fresco in Mexico, the use of color art lithography in the United States, and the use of ceramics for monumental sculpture in Hawai‘i.

Charlot’s early sources of inspiration are also indications of his venturing beyond the conventional and accepted. He became very learned in the traditional history of art and literature (a young poem contains the line, J’ai lu tous les livres, “I’ve read all the books”), but quickly expanded his research to such subjects as Mesoamerican cultures. In literature, he read widely in Medieval and early Renaissance French, learned folk songs in Brittany during his visit there after his father’s death, and wrote poems during the war in the patois of the poilu, the soldiers of the trenches. This effort can be seen as part of the movement around Paul Claudel to enrich the poetic language, but many of Charlot’s special interests would be “discovered” only later, such as the dream poems of Théophile de Viau by the Surrealists.

Besides contemporary artists, Charlot was early influenced by Aztec codices, a number of which had been collected by his granduncle Eugène Goupil and deposited in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris. He also made a large collection of Images d'Épinal, which, along with the folk woodblocks of Orléans, influenced his printmaking. A major influence, now difficult to trace, was the folk bas-reliefs of Brittany. Charlot produced an entire œuvre of colored wood reliefs of religious subjects that were included in an exhibition
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woodcut
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Reclining Nude
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pencil on paper
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La Nana, New York
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lithograph
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Mother and Child
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sponsored by the Gilde Notre-Dame, the liturgical art
group to which he belonged. These were all sold or given
away after the exhibition, and the only surviving pieces,
to my knowledge, are two panels of brightly colored wings,
kept in the family because the buyer had them removed
from the work he wanted. Charlot remembered showing
some of these reliefs to a writer on liturgical art, who took
him for a country Breton and encouraged him not to be
spoiled by the city and its fashions!

As always, Charlot was
interested in the genuine artistic qualities of certain folk
arts, not in their picturesqueness. He saw in them the
same virtues he found in Stephan Lochner and the Rhine
School during his tour of duty in occupied Germany:
authentic art values of composition and color along with
legibility and effectiveness for the people they were
designed to reach. That is, he was joining his core classi-
cal tradition to his expanding sources of inspiration. He

Charlot at an Exhibition of the Gilde Notre-Dame
Paris, c. 1816
The two woodcarvings of angels and a woodcut on the wall are Charlot's.
could do this because of the fundamental artistic values each tradition incorporated in its own way. For instance, he found the illustrators of the Aztec codices more cubist than the Cubists.

Already in his French period, Charlot developed a definite rhythm in the progress of his work: an alternation of initial analysis based on geometry and concluding synthesis, often based on an experience of native or folk art. As he entered each new period of his life or work—in France, Mexico, the United States, and the Pacific—he would submit the new sights to a prismatic examination in order to probe with his own eyes and mind their special characteristics. His final synthesis would be influenced also by his scholarly research of and artistic encounter with the art of the place. That is, he combined his personal perception with the art tradition of the given culture to reach an adequate expression of and for the place, an expression that would speak to its inhabitants.

His choice of sources of inspiration was closely connected to his view of the purpose of art. He emphasized throughout his life that art should be pursued not for its own sake, but for the good of others. This view impelled him towards liturgical and public and monumental art, which required a certain cultural continuity in order to be effective.

This perspective defined also his view of the artist. He and his fellow members of the Gilde were much influenced by the then current idea that the builders and decorators of the great cathedrals had been anonymous craftsmen; this was opposed
to the Renaissance idea of the artist as genius. This view is now recognized as historically inaccurate, but in the early part of this century, it offered an alternative to those who could not accept the elitist image of art and artists that is still dominant today.

Charlot's first commission, the decoration of a church in Paris, would have enabled him to follow in the tradition of his models. The mural would have been in fresco, a difficult and traditional medium that had a particular, personal significance for Charlot: an older artist he admired, Marcel Lenoir, had tried unsuccessfully all his life to be given a wall on which to paint a monumental fresco.

Charlot's mural would have been the summation of his art up to that time: the design for a processional down both sides of the nave incorporated many of the themes and subjects found in his earlier work. The sunny side featured women and children in the light colors of Maurice Denis, an early influence on Charlot. On the shadowed wall of the nave, men wounded in the war made their way towards the altar.

When the commission was not funded, Charlot was so discouraged about the prospects of such work in France that he left for Mexico, where happily a veritable movement of mural painting would soon begin.

But before he left, foreseeing certainly the stylistic changes to come, he summarized his French period in the large L'Animité, his
“master piece,” in the Medieval sense, of the first stage of his life and artistic career. Done in tempera—the closest he could get to fresco—it gives final form to a number of his favored subjects: his pet dog (recalling his very first drawing), portraits, a liturgical angel with lettered scrolling, flowers (the subjects of many of his war-time sketches in his military carnet), a cubistic village-scape, and the sunny coast of Brittany.

Charlot left France for Mexico a young man, but he was already a developed artist with a definite direction, philosophy, and personality. He was soon to go through a new period of stylistic development, but the main lines of his work and thinking were already firmly established.

Moreover, many of his characteristics, developed in the French period, would have a decisive effect on the Mexican Mural Renaissance, both as his personal influence and in combination with others: in style, the joining of classical geometric composition with inspiration from native and folk sources; in purpose, public art for the public good; and in self-image, the artist as worker-craftsman rather than aesthetegenius.
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The Book of Christopher Columbus
By Paul Claudel
New Haven: Yale University Press, 1930
book illustrations
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Portraits by Jean Charlot

by James Jensen

Portraiture is one of the most fascinating genres of art. Implicit in the making of a portrait is a complex and subtle consensual encounter between sitter and artist. This collaboration has been likened to a ritual, a dance, a debate, perhaps a duel, between two personalities. It is a tacit agreement for conscious display and conscious observation. It includes a mixture of trust and wariness. The subject poses and projects, submitting to the artist’s eye but hoping to retain some control over what the artist sees and perceives. The artist selects, manipulates visual data and interprets it through the imposition of his personal style. The process for both is one of objectivity and subjectivity. Obviously, artist and sitter alike offer unique contributions to the finished portrait.
Dictionaries define a “portrait” in simple terms—as a likeness of a person. Certainly a portrait must provide a record of the sitter’s features if it is to be considered “good.” But if a portrait is to be effective, to have aesthetic quality and exert a pull upon the mind, it must go beyond physical characteristics and offer a sense of the subject’s inner self.

Jean Charlot’s awareness of this principle is amply demonstrated in the sixty years of his forays into portraiture. Charlot created a remarkable body of work in this genre during his long career—approximately 175 portraits in oil and dozens more as drawings and prints. Yet this aspect of his work remains little known. In part this is due to the role which Charlot himself assigned to portraiture in his work. For him the making of portraits was essentially a personal and private activity. Since photography had largely assumed the demand for social portraiture, there were few commissions available, and Charlot built his public reputation on frescoes, murals and easel paintings of subjects drawn primarily from the daily life around him. While occasionally a portrait was commissioned or Charlot would use portraits as studies for figures in his murals, most of his portraits seem to have been done because he liked to study people. More specifically, he was interested in faces, delineating features and getting at the nuances of character and mood behind them. The vast majority of Charlot’s portraits are heads or busts, observed close-in, with few, if any indications of material props, costume or background which might create distracting associations.
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pencil on paper
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oil on canvas
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Nude, Back
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Charlot Family Collection
Charlot was not interested in creating portraits of record, and he consciously avoided appropriating the ordinary from his sitters. Thus, his response to the person in front of him was never routine. This is perhaps best illustrated by the remarkable group of portrait drawings he did in Mexico in the 1920s, which range in style from
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**Chemin de Croix: Station XII**  
1919  
Woodcut  
17” x 11”  
Charlot portrays himself, wearing his army uniform, at upper left.  
Charlot Collection
detailed works composed of a mingling of strokes, hatchings, washes and textures to the most simplified outline sketches freely rendered in a few deft gestures in pencil and chalk. In their candor and spontaneity, these drawings are an interesting counterpart to the portrait photographs which Edward Weston took about the same time—and often of the same people. It is possible that Charlot was in part influenced by Weston's photographic style in making his drawings. But in their powerful distillation of the essences of appearances and personalities, Charlot's portrait drawings did what no photograph could do.

Most of Charlot's portrait subjects were close friends or associates, people with whom he had an opportunity to establish a rapport or empathy. Yet familiarity or intimacy with the artist did not always lead to a request to sit for him, for there were many who were close to him or often around him of whom he never drew or painted a portrait. Charlot seemed to look for some special quality, whether it be a particular cast of a feature, shading of complexion or attitude in the eyes, to kindle response and desire to create a portrait. There were certain individuals in whom he never seemed to lose interest as models—Manuel Martinez Pintao, Luz, Anita Brenner, Eleanore, his wife Zohmah—and he did their portraits many times. The striking differences from one to another of the works in these respective groups of portraits illustrate what Charlot surely came to realize—that the human personality is too multiform and individual for even the most alert or

Great Nude, Chaima II
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oil on canvas
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Portrait of Eisenstein
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Reclining Woman
June 1932
pencil on paper
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Charlot Family Collection

Seven Pilgrims, Milpa Alta
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oil on canvas
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Collection of the Honolulu Academy of Arts, Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Rebert Brown
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oil on canvas
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oil on canvas
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Nude, with Painter's Hand
1932
oil on canvas
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Charlot Family Collection
accomplished portraitist to hope to catch its definitive revelation.

Occasionally, Charlot used himself as the model (Illus. p. 141). Self-portraiture has a long tradition in art and is an intriguing paradox. The artist emerges from the other side of the easel to confront himself—the observer becomes the observed—and create a statement of how he sees himself or as he fancies others see him. Charlot’s early images of himself reflect awareness of the new and ever-changing modes of modern art as he interprets his features as volumetric, chiseled facets influenced by cubism or as an assemblage of bright patches of color à la fauvism or orphism. Later self-portraits exude confidence as they show a man at ease with himself and secure with the world around him.

In many of Charlot’s portraits we sense that the subjects are caught slightly off-guard, for there is a subtle but pervading sense of vulnerability. It is perhaps this quality which makes them so accessible and so affecting. Charlot worked with a gentle and benign predator’s eye, searching for the unguarded moment, the unself-conscious revelation in his probings of personality. The results are sympathetic portraits of disarming frankness and uncompromising candor. Imbued with Charlot’s own special brand of humanity, they convey both likeness and personality of others, and yet like mirrors they give us back a reflection of some part of ourselves.
Notes

1. Charlot also portrays himself (on left) in *Chemin de Croix: Station XII*, illustrated on page 60.

Reprinted with permission of the Honolulu Academy of Arts from the exhibition brochure *Portraits by Jean Charlot*, 1985.
Jean Charlot: The Nude Figure

by James Jensen

Jean Charlot's paintings, drawings and prints of nudes affirm his place in an important and long tradition. The nude figure has been a subject of contemplation since prehistoric times, appearing as early as ten to fifteen thousand years ago in bulging statuettes, the so-called "Venus" from paleolithic caves, and, later, in the third millennium B.C., in geometrically streamlined marble carvings from the Cyclades. The nude as an art form that may be considered whole unto itself, however, was invented in Greece around the fifth century B.C. The Greeks considered physical perfection a mark of their sophisticated culture, and nudity, as reflected in their paintings and sculpture, acquired a kind of moral value.
Few things are more complex than man’s reactions to the nude human body. While the Greeks lauded the nude for expressing high ideals, subsequent cultures and periods at times attacked the nude for arousing impious thoughts, stimulating prurient or sexually imbued interests. In the world of art, these two attitudes were formalized in semantic distinctions made between “naked” and “nude.” The differentiations have never been more succinctly set forth than by Sir Kenneth Clark thirty years ago in his published collection of lectures *The Nude: A Study in Ideal Form*: “To be naked is to be deprived of our clothes, and the word implies some of the embarrassment most of us feel in that condition. The word ‘nude’ on the other hand, carries, in educated usage no uncomfortable overtone. The vague image it projects into the mind is not a huddled and defenseless body, but of a balanced, prosperous and confident body: the body reformed.” In the course of his lectures Lord Clark demonstrated that historically the nude came to be considered the most central and serious vehicle laden with layer on layer of meaning—aesthetic, cultural and psychological.

No artist involved in depicting the human figure can do so without confronting the nude (and its intellectual connotations). Jean Charlot was no exception. Judging from Charlot’s remarkable body of work in this genre, he was a man in whom the unclothed human form evoked powerful feelings—of mystery, tenderness, sensuality, awe and respect. The nude played a more important role in Charlot’s art than perhaps has been generally realized. It was a subject to which he frequently returned during the period from the early 1920s to the late 1930s, producing
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*Nude, Prismatic*  
1932  
oil on canvas  
36” x 28”  
Charlot Family Collection

*Tondo, Back*  
1932  
oil on canvas  
30” x 30”  
Charlot Family Collection

*La Mer*  
1932  
oil on canvas  
30” x 48”  
Charlot Family Collection

*La Montagne*  
1932  
oil on canvas  
30” x 47 3/4”  
Charlot Family Collection

*Jerry*  
1932  
colored pencil on paper  
20” x 13 5/8”  
Charlot Family Collection

*Self-portrait*  
1938  
oil on canvas  
15” x 15”  
Charlot Family Collection

*Mother with Child on Back*  
1938  
color lithograph  
25 1/4” x 20”  
Charlot Collection
dozens of paintings and well over one hundred
drawings and prints. Like his portraits, Charlot’s
nudes have remained a lesser-known aspect of his
work because they have seldom been exhibited
together in significant numbers. Many of the drawings
have never been exhibited, and it is in these works in
particular that one senses Charlot’s endless fascination
with the nude and his desire to know it fully, in all its
nuances.

In the exhibition are
a pair of 1922 drawings of a male nude. Probably the
same male model is shown in both, depicted in two of
many customary poses assumed by a professional
model in a classroom or studio situation. These two
sheets point up the fact that for Charlot, as for
many young artists before and after him, painting
and drawing the nude was the foundation of all
academic training. In particular, drawing the male
nude had been, ever since Michelangelo’s time, one
of the supreme proofs of skill, demonstrating an
artist’s command of anatomy and understanding of
musculature. Approaching the model in a formal way,
Charlot rendered him with a solidity that could
only have been learned in life class. The outline and
contours of his body are defined not only by line but
by delicate shading. Charlot masterfully uses the
white of the paper to convey a sense of volume
and mass. The bumpy, gnarly musculature seems a
somewhat exaggerated interpretation of a highly
developed body, but it also may be the legacy of
cubism, as if the sharp contours and shallow concave
planes resulted from subjecting the form to some
general law of refraction.
These two drawings are the only representation of male nudes in the exhibition. After this early period, Charlot apparently had little interest in the male nude and seldom used it as the central subject in his work. The one major exception was a large painting depicting Eve offering the apple to Adam (1932). Though the figure of Adam (Lincoln Kirstein was the model) is a large and imposing one, it is the figure of Eve which nevertheless attracts and dominates the viewer's attention. It was the female form which preoccupied Charlot.

The delight which he took in exploring the diversity of postures and attitudes presented by the female nude is revealed in a series of drawings also from 1922. Unlike the drawings of male nudes which rely on shading contrasted with the light of the paper itself to establish form, the female nudes, rendered in reddish and black inks over blue pencil underdrawing, utilize only line. Using long, discursive outlines to articulate contours, Charlot is after a different selection of information in these works than in the male nudes. Wonderful in their spareness and simplicity, these studies reveal Charlot's virtuosity as a draughtsman. His secure sense of freedom and knowledge of form allow him to employ the expressive force of strongly drawn outline in capturing the essence of the subjects.

From the following year, 1923, is a large drawing of a reclining nude, posed for by Nahui Olin, whose face was immortalized in the famous intense photographic portrait by Edward Weston. Drawn in soft charcoal, Olin's body is arrayed across the sheet like a frieze. A pleasing rhythm is established and flows

Nude Seated
1933
lithograph
9 3/4" x 7"
Charlot Collection

Untitled (portrait of a woman)
1933
pencil on paper
9" x 11"
Charlot Family Collection

Lavandera
1933
lithograph
11 1/4" x 9 1/2"
Charlot Collection

Picture Book
1933
22 bound color lithographs
11 1/4" x 9"
Charlot Collection

Maliache
Prospectus for Picture Book
1933
color lithograph on zinc, offset
6" x 8"
Charlot Collection

Tortillera (Picture Book wrapper)
1933
brush on zinc lithograph, offset
11" x 17 7/8"
Charlot Collection

Guitar Player
1933-35
oil on canvas
58" x 36"
Charlot Family Collection
through the curves and angles of her long, lithesome body. The eye's movement is arrested momentarily by the ingenious device of the bent leg crossed over the knee, creating a perfect triangle, before moving on down the extended leg and being released through the pointed foot. Charlot capitalizes on the characteristics of the charcoal to describe outline boldly and to permit the figure to emerge from the background. He utilizes to advantage the medium's capacity to define sweeping contours and to suggest, when rubbed, the presence of light. The beautiful finish and the solidity and naturalism of this drawing distinguish it from the exaggerated male nudes and the female silhouettes of 1922. Here Charlot pursues an elegant classicism. Nahui Olin is cast in the tradition of the odalisque, like Manet's Olympia, a mixture of the aristocratic and the down-to-earth. The figure is used as a vehicle for mood, one of luxe, calme et volupté. She possesses a sensuousness that is emphasized by her alert expression and open mouth, the braid which snakes down and crosses her arms, the soft press of her buttock against the support, and the slight arch to her body. A sense of inhalation, of uplifting vitality, imbues her with allure, the allure of being and becoming.

The drawing of Nahui Olin seems virtually unique in Charlot's work. The conventional beauty, in the tradition of the Greek ideal of proportion, of Olin's body and the naturalism he used to depict it did not sustain his interest. It was another woman he encountered in Mexico, Luz Jiménez, a very different physical type, who was destined to become his favored model and a lasting inspiration in his work (Illus. pp. 113, 136). Charlot never seemed to lose interest in Luz as a subject. He
painted and drew her portrait many times, as he did her body, and indeed many of the nudes of Luz are in effect portraits, so convincingly did he infuse them with a sense of personality.

As Luz's broadly chiseled Indian/Spanish features intrigued him, so her body inspired in him the urge to envisage things in terms of heaviness, roundness and fullness. In her plain earthiness, Charlot perceived a metaphor for the timeless and the universal. He apotheosized her qualities in large paintings such as the two versions of Great Nude, Chalma (Illus. p. 127), in which we see her standing like a classical Venus or Aphrodite, proud, noble, dignified—the embodiment of all that he admired in the ancient race she represented. In Idolo (Illus. p. 137) the monumentality is further pronounced in the sculptural sturdiness of her form, and the analogy to an ancient deity is unmistakable.

In a more intimate vein, Charlot delighted in depicting her body for its own sake. He was particularly fascinated by her back, and one of the most sensitive and beautiful of all Charlot's drawings is one in red conte crayon which reveals a purely sensual response to light caressing the surface of her back, picking out the subtle details of the depression of her spine and the folds of flesh. The softness of the medium emphasizes the sensuous nature of the subject, and Charlot achieved a masterly interaction of crayon and paper that produces a magnificently luminous image.
Luz served as the prototype for the nude female figures which Charlot used in his depictions of women bathing or doing coiffures. The theme of women involved in intimate activities was an important one in his work, and he did many variations in paintings, drawings and prints. He treated this theme in one of his largest and most complex early paintings, the *Great Bathers, Chalma* (1930, oil on canvas, 60" x 80"). In the center, Charlot employed a favorite device: two nudes standing, one facing out toward the viewer, the other turned presenting her back. Around them are other figures in a landscape. The two nudes have a solid and earthy monumentality, direct descendants of the bathers of Rubens, Courbet, Renoir and, above all,
Cézanne. Charlot's bathers maintain an aura of detachment and ambiguity. On the one hand they seem evocations of an ideal of female innocence and on the other like mother-goddesses—symbols of fertility, abundance incarnate.

How different then are a series of nudes painted by Charlot in 1932 and 1933. They come as a surprise, for they possess none of the voluptuousness of Luz and the bathers. The continuous surfaces, easy transitions and delicate modeling that seemed an essential factor in depicting the earlier nudes suddenly gave way to decisive simplifications and violent distortions. These works are loosely handled and there is less concern for transcription on the literal. Somber colors are replaced by brighter, harsher hues. Proportions are exaggerated without concern for the rules of anatomy, and there seems to be a conscious attempt to show the substructure, the bones of the body and how the flesh covers them. We can only speculate about what Charlot was searching for in such works. Perhaps the diluted influence of cubism was reasserting itself, or the artist felt a turn to greater abstraction and distortion would heighten impact. While these works seem at first glance strangely awkward, it is only when one looks at them for a time that one begins to understand how this apparent disproportion strengthens the design as a whole. The psychological effect of these nudes is curious. They seem deliberately evocative and emphatically physical, invested with sexual feelings that go beyond the sensuality of his earlier depictions of the nude. In the most disturbing work in the series, *Nude with a Cigarette*, the figure, whose arm appears unfinished and
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**Eleanore Nude from the Waist**
1935
pencil on paper
18 7/8" x 14 1/4"
Charlot Family Collection

**Bathers**
1935
oil on canvas
15" x 16"
Charlot Family Collection

**Bathers**
1935
fresco
14 3/4" x 18 5/8"
Charlot Family Collection

**Cargador Resting with Sugar Cane**
February 1936
oil on canvas
19" x 15"
Charlot Family Collection

**Characters of the Reformation**
By Hilaire Belloc
New York and London: Sheed and Ward, 1936
book illustrations: lithographs
11" x 8 1/4"
Charlot Collection

**Lavanderas, Nude**
April 1937
oil on canvas
30" x 30"
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne

**Tortillera Lesson**
July 1937
oil on canvas
36" x 30"
Collection of Henry Blakstad, Jr.
whose face dissolves in a ghostly glow, exudes an eroticism proclaimed by the rosy aureole of her sexual parts.

Such psychological potency is also found again in a pair of works, likewise painted in 1932, which symbolizes the ocean and the earth or land, La Mer (The Sea) and La Montagne (The Mountain). Like the famous sculptures of Maillol, L’Air, La Rivière and La Montagne, each embodies the attributes of its analogous subject. In Charlot’s La Mer, the figure has a soft fleshiness and reclines in an ethereal dream-state in a moonlit landscape by a body of water. (Reclining Nude, Illus. p. 138) is a study for La Mer.) Pale light slithers over the forms of her body and smooths them out, creating a sense of translucent liquidity. In La Montagne (Illus. p. 139) a massive, compact nude reclines in restless slumber on firm ground. The heavy outlines of her body and her reddish brown coloring evoke the earth, while the rhythmic sequence of hump and hollow of her hips, breasts and arms blends with the mountainous landscape behind her. Like Nude with a Cigarette, La Mer and La Montagne convey a suggestion of sexual readiness.

The distortions and allegories which preoccupied Charlot in 1932 gave way to more objective explorations of the nude in the mid and late 1930s. After 1935, however, nudes as independent subjects began to diminish in his work. Perhaps Charlot felt he had solved the mysteries of the nude and after nearly two decades of intensive questioning and exploring had reached an intuitive understanding.
of the human form. Certainly Jean Charlot never lost his conviction that the human figure is simultaneously the most complex and potentially the most eloquent subject an artist can handle, and that depicting the human is the most forthright expression of an artist's own humanity.

Reprinted with permission of the Honolulu Academy of Arts from the exhibition brochure Jean Charlot: The Nuvo Figure, 1987.
Jean Charlot's Repertory of Motifs

by Mike Weaver

All art is religious.
Even if a painter chooses to paint an
anti-religious theme, if the result is art
at all, it will be religious at the core.
—José Clemente Orozco

Like many artists before
him, Jean Charlot believed that art could serve as the
visual bible of the illiterate and the poor. The unlettered
laity learned to read an alphabet of lines and plastic
values even if the Roman alphabet was beyond their
immediate reach. The windows, the sculpture and paint-
ings of the church moved and edified countless humble
souls who knew the stories of the Bible through the oral
repetition of prayers and sermons. Charlot wrote: "When
we refer today to art as propaganda, we think of closed fists and red banners, forgetting that other kind which, for centuries, disseminated the lessons of martyrdoms and miracles” (1972, vol. II:70). Living and working as he did through the Modernist period of art, Charlot knew that followers of the School of Paris would consider it a cardinal sin, as it were, to produce paintings and prints with overtly Biblical subject matter. However, by devoting his life to a certain craftsmanly practicality he was able both to resolve his own position as a believer and to make work which is never out of place wherever a loving relationship between the common people and God still exists. His folk-liturgical art retains its quiet force within the lines, values and colors of an art of printmaking not likely to lose its meaning while art for sacramental purposes endures. Like santos painting, his work was intended to make a Jacob’s ladder to connect us with God.

Some artists have found the connection between Mary Madonna and Mary Magdalene one of immense psychological importance. But Charlot, it seems, was not especially interested in this relationship. To translate a French caption he wrote for one of his prints (Morse 1976:101 plate 132):

Virgin or whore, dressed or not,
When art takes over, doesn’t matter a jot!

Like marriage, art sanctified everything under the bed sheet. Charlot was evidently more interested in the relation between Mary and Martha. Mary’s mystical mind wandered beyond the kitchen, while Martha grumbled at her sister’s apparent

Rest and Work
1937
oil on canvas
36” x 36”
Collection of St. Francis Medical Center

Rest and Work at Doorsill
July 1938
oil on canvas
36” x 30”
Charlot Family Collection

The New Hat (Negress, N. Y.)
September 1938
oil on canvas
12” x 9”
Charlot Family Collection

Tortilleras
1938
lithograph on aluminum, offset
12” x 9”
Charlot Collection

Interior with Girl Sewing
1938
oil on canvas
35” x 29”
Collection of Gerrit Roberts

Coiffure (Deousing)
1939
oil on canvas
30” x 27”
Charlot Family Collection

First Steps
1939
oil on canvas
40” x 30”
Collection of Ivan Hawkins
uselessness. Yet Charlot never fully accepted this difference, any more than others accept the one between the Madonna and Magdalene. In *Woman with Basket*, the basket usurps the importance of its bearer not because of its usefulness but because such a pannier may contain mystical disks of unleavened bread made by *tortilleras* who look as much like praying saints and angels as housewives (Illus. p. 148). *Woman with Bundle* is an analogous glyph of a person with a parcel of linen on her head, whose hands are raised in the gesture of St. Veronica holding up the kerchief with the Holy Face (Illus. p. 149). *Raising of Lazarus* shows Mary and Martha
looking down on a head framed by grave clothes also shaped like Veronica's veil. This Veronica motif, so widely featured in the folk art of the Oaxaca region of Mexico, was one often used by Charlot in his search for a symbolic yet plastic abstraction of a sacred subject consistent and consonant with the distaff side of life.

_Tondo: Bathers_ shows the upper figure making the Veronica gesture or kerchief sign in a context related to a Tarascan terra cotta of a delousing scene in subject, and to a Raphaelite tondo transformed by Ingres in form. The theme of _Tondo: After the Bath_ (Illus. p. 143), like many of the nude figure studies in Charlot's work, originates in a steam-bath subject of 1925, _Temascal_ (Illus. p. 124). But this Mexican communal bathhouse scene is keyed to a view through the window of a _Calvario_—three crosses on a hill. The typology of Baptism, prophetic of purification and Resurrection, suffuses this early picture with thoughtful motifs which we recognize in subsequent work by Charlot: hairdressing, motherly care, woman laundring, child washing mother. In _Bathers_, a child rinses off its mother, but Charlot's French caption asks whether it does not depict John baptizing Jesus, while the English caption asks why a dove (the Holy Spirit) is hovering near. In _Coiffure: Idols_, the act of delousing suggests a sisterly embrace—a Kiss of Peace such as that exchanged by Elizabeth and Mary at the Visitation. In _Woman Washing_, a crouching figure paddles a shirt in a stream, but in the background another holds up a cloth in a pinning action evocative of the Veronica gesture. In the
captions, a robust attitude to bodily cleanliness (Martha) is matched by a mystical washing away of sins (Mary).

The last mural Charlot painted in Mexico for the Ministry of Education, *Lavanderas* (1923), already contained such motifs of women with bundles and baskets on their heads, holding up linen in the Veronica gesture, and washing clothes in a river (Charlot 1963 [1967]:plate 45a). Always lightly
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*Cargador at Rest*

1933

Heliograph on stone
11 1/4" x 9 1/4"
Charlot Collection
charged with meaning, Charlot collected these visual types throughout his career, depicting them in Picture Book (1933), Mexihkanantli (1946-7), and Picture Book II (1972-3). He considered J.M.W. Turner's Liber Studiorum (1808) his precedent—a repertory of motifs for use as source material. But Charlot included text pages of captions to extend the significance of the titles of his images, which made his picture books more like seventeenth-century emblem books or Ripa's Iconologia than Turner's. In Picture Book (1933) Charlot wrote rhymed captions in French and English (by no means straight translations of each other), and Paul Claudel, the poet-playwright, contributed devises, or extended titles. Witty as they are, these captions enlarge the meaning of the images, Charlot assuming the homely Martha role as a rule, and Claudel adopting that of the mystical Mary. In Motherly Care, Charlot's comment emphasizes the generous physical protection that the enormous form of the bending mother provides, while Claudel wrote: "She asks who is his father." Charlot's captions for Cargador probably refer to St. Christopher, "who found even his giant strength no match for the miraculous weight of his Burden," whereas Claudel identified the image directly with Christ—"Crucified to the stone" (Illus. p. 142). In Cargador at Rest, Charlot provides a sugar-cane replacement for St. Christopher's gnarled staff, and curls a nose adjacent to his head to evoke the betrayal of Judas, or a memory of Christ led to the Crucifixion on a rope. His printer, Lynton R. Kistler, watching him draw the stone for this lithograph, feared that he might copy the image directly from a pre-Hispanic terra cotta but was much relieved to discover that he did not. Charlot's mastery of his Aztec and Mayan sources was such that he felt no need for copying. On the contrary, what he wished to do
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**Negro Head**
*Study for mural, Post Office, McDonough, Georgia*
November 1941  
eil on canvas  
15 1/2" x 11 1/2"  
Charlot Collection

**Carmen**
*By Prosper Mérimée*  
New York: Limited Editions Club, 1941  
book illustrations; lithographs  
11" x 8 1/2"  
Charlot Collection

**Rest on the Flight with Angels Washing Diapers**
1941  
eil on canvas  
20" x 24"  
Charlot Family Collection

**Tortillera with Child**
1941  
lithograph on zinc, offset  
12 1/2" x 18 1/2"  
Charlot Collection

**Sculptor**
*Study for Visual Arts mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*  
December 1941  
charcoal on paper  
25" x 19"  
Charlot Family Collection
was to synthesize his cultural sources, Mexican Indian and Christian, in such a way that a resonance would be struck in the mind of the viewer to encompass both traditions simultaneously. He wanted to communicate with his animated motifs or glyphs not a story but a quality of thought and feeling which would awaken an inward sense of the religious. Occasionally, Charlot suspected that Kistler did not like to print overtly religious subjects—sacred art intended for church use, like a Via Crucis or a Madonna or a Holy Face—even if Kistler protested that this was not the case (Morse 1976:140, 295, 333). But Charlot himself did not believe that Fra Angelico would have been a better artist if he had been able to avoid religious art: “In other moments of art
history, propaganda was thought of as a sound enough ingredient of art, and certainly the one obvious reason for producing art” (1972, vol. I:322). Charlot had, after all, begun his career in France in La Gilde Notre-Dame, a group of craftsmen dedicated to liturgical art. His model artist was the religious painter Marcel Lenoir (1872-1931).

At the end of the First World War, in 1918-20, Charlot carved a *Via Crucis* in woodcut (Illus. pp. 60, 103). This *Chemin de Croix*, consisting of fourteen Stations of the Cross, was in one sense his original picture book or polyptich of Christian motifs. Each station included an inscription below the image, together with a vignette symbolic of an incident in the Christian story. In 1934 Charlot drew another four Stations of the Cross, intended when complete to make up a (fourteen-station *Chemin de Croix*) booklet.

*Station XIII*, *The Descent from the Cross*, included a motif of vital importance to his work. It shows Christ being lowered from the Cross by Joseph of Arimathea with the aid of a cloth. In the Mexican context this cloth was a rebozo used by a woman to support her child as it took its first steps or to tie it into its chair. As a looped piece of cloth it is closely related to the motif of Veronica’s kerchief. It serves as a lowering, holding, and restraining device in both senses of the word—a useful domestic contrivance such as Martha might use and a robe or shroud of the Passion such as Mary might contemplate. In *First Steps*, a resonance from *Station XIII* reverberates in our minds when we recognize that these first steps of childhood foreshadow the descent from the Cross.

In another version a folded paper toy chicken in the bottom right hand corner of the image replaces the cock-
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**Potter**

*Study for Visual Arts mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*

December 1941  
charcoal on paper  
19” x 24 5/8”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Muse**

*Study for Music mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*

December 1941  
charcoal on paper  
24 3/4” x 18 7/8”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Harpist**

*Study for Music mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*

March 1942  
charcoal on paper  
25 1/8” x 19”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Spectators of Tragedy**

*Study for Theater mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*

March 1942  
charcoal on paper  
25” x 19”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Hand of Muse II**

*Study for Music mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia*

April 1942  
charcoal on paper  
39” x 24 3/4”  
Charlot Collection
crow vignette in the *Chemin de Croix* to represent the Denial of Peter.

*Woman Tying Baby in Chair* is even more clearly related to *The Descent from the Cross*. Without a title for the picture we would not know whether the child was being tied in or let down. The chair itself, made of roughly carpentered planks takes the place of the Cross, over the crossbar of which the Mother now leans. In one version her arms hold up the rebozo in the Veronica gesture and the infant's face merges with it to make a Holy Face. In another chair picture, *Playing with the Dog*, the triangular back of the crossbar of the chair evokes the symbol of the Holy Ghost, while in the vignette corner is an apple or ball. In *La Nana*, the child is offered a Mexican straw toy which combines the Cross of the Crucifixion with St. Andrew's cross of martyrdom. As Charlot remarked about this lithograph: "There is something of a mixture of Christian and pagan in it." In *The Glass Ball*, the ball seems to refer emblematically to the *homo bulla* theme (man's life is but a bubble), but when related to a *santo* from which Charlot made his Christmas card in 1948 it alludes to the globe of the world redeemed by its Saviour.

The French Catholic Revival before and after the First World War embraced a conception of the peasant which Charlot was never to abandon. Before him, his friend Paul Claudel had valued the simple, loyal, and deeply felt religion of the French peasant. In Mexico, however, Charlot moved in a circle which was Marxist and fiercely anti-clerical. Sharing with Rivera, Orozco and Siqueiros the desire to portray peasant life, he saw nothing in the Christian
view of life to stand in the way of celebrating the apotheosis of the poor and the downfall of the rich. *Los ricos en el infierno* (Illus. p. 122), a woodcut originally intended for the revolutionary paper *El Machete*, proved a certain social solidarity with his Marxist friends, who in turn “found it difficult not to hold on to the apron strings of the Mother (church) they kicked” (1963, [1967]:26). The muralists fused pre-Hispanic and popular Mexican art with the colonial Christian tradition. Charlot wrote: “In
Mexico, it was Christ's choice to blow the breath of great religious expression in the nostrils of men who, indeed, were not professional do-gooders" (1972, vol. 1:291-92). In short, Communist artists of genius were infinitely to be preferred to mediocre Christian ones when it came to conveying a profoundly sacramental view of life. It was not a matter of having the right pious attitudes but of expressing a depth of thought and feeling which was classically Mexican. In a similar way Charlot was able to communicate directly with Mexicans (and later, Hawaiians) on terms which the people might be expected to understand. Just as the great nineteenth-century French realist painters turned to popular imagery in order to bring it to the people, so Charlot, first influenced by French popular art prints from Épinal and then by those of José Guadalupe Posada, offered work which accorded with Mexican folkways. It was his special gift to be able to translate religious ideas into sensuous graphic form so naturally as hardly to be recognized for what it truly was—liturgical art quite untainted by overt propaganda and full of the gusto of everyday life.

Notes

1. The School of Paris generally refers to the great period of French painting during the late nineteenth and early twentieth century.

2. The Tarascan Indians of Michoacán in western Mexico (flourished fourteenth and fifteenth centuries) are famous for small clay figures, often female, which reflect the daily life of the people.
3. *Iconologia*, a basic source book on iconology by Cesare Ripa, was first published in Rome in 1593; the illustrated edition in 1603.

4. *Images d'Épinal*, French folk prints, were produced by the same family in the city of Épinal since the eighteenth century.
Jean Charlot's Book Illustrations

By Nancy J. Morris

Jean Charlot's first book illustration was a 1923 portrait of the poet List Arzubide published in Mexico as the frontispiece for a collection of Arzubide's poems. The last set of illustrations completed by Charlot was for A First Book, a children's book with text by Zohmah Charlot. The stencils for the color plates were at Charlot's bedside when he died in 1979. Charlot's son Martin completed the color overlays for the eventual publication of A First Book in 1980. Between these years, 1923 and 1979, Charlot executed illustrations for fifty-two books. He was one of the most significant twentieth century artists who has turned to book illustration, and he took on this work with the same zest and enjoyment he gave to major oils and murals.
Surveying the fifty-two works of illustrations, one is struck by the variety of moods, styles and techniques included. For purposes of discussion, the Charot illustrations can perhaps best be approached through a grouping process, with pauses for some particular favorites.

**Picture Books**

Charot produced two works called *Picture Book*, the first in 1933, the second forty years later. These could more accurately be called portfolios, but we will overlook that distinction. Charot most certainly would have been willing to do so. He had definite opinions against “art books” and instead wanted to produce art for the people.

Charot had two intentions in the 1933 *Picture Book*. He wanted to make something that was not too “artistic” but “rather a picture book or a collection of little prizes like one is given in school” (In Rutgers University Art Gallery 1974:14). Secondly, his idea was to present a repertory of motifs he had used. As a precedent he pointed to the motif books by Turner, *Liber Studiorum*, or even earlier examples, the motif books prepared by Medieval and Renaissance artists and their workshops.

The 1933 Charot *Picture Book* contains thirty-two images. Each picture is an original lithograph, each is a composite of six or seven colors, and each is extraordinary (Illus. p. 142). To produce these lithographs, Charot drew the image for each color separately on the individual plates, using his unique

---

**Flight into Egypt**
1950
pencil on paper
39 1/2" x 28 1/2"
Charot Family Collection

**Rest on the Flight**
1950
oil on canvas
40" x 30"
Charot Family Collection

**Holy Family**
c. 1950
pencil on paper
28 1/2" x 38"
Charot Family Collection

**Captain Cook**
Fresco fragment from mural,
*Early Contacts of Hawai'i with Outer World*, Bishop Bank, Waikiki
1951-52
29 1/2" x 38"
Collection of The Contemporary Museum, Honolulu

**Missionary Woman Spinning**
Fresco fragment from mural,
*Early Contacts of Hawai'i with Outer World*, Bishop Bank
1951-52
28" x 26"
Collection of St. Francis Medical Center

**Boy and Girl with Doll**
Fresco fragment from mural,
*Early Contacts of Hawai'i with Outer World*, Bishop Bank
1951-52
29" x 38"
Charot Family Collection
ability to plan color separations and combinations in his mind. Charlot himself arranged the printing of each color onto the sheets of paper that would ultimately become the book.

Images are accompanied by short inscriptions—similar in tone to haiku verse—composed by the French writer Paul Claudel and by Charlot. The mysterious inscriptions, though obviously related to the images, have an independent existence. Charlot explained that he wished to achieve a certain distance between image and caption. The lines composed by Charlot remind us of his lifelong interest in communicating not only in visual art but also through words.

Mexican subjects fill the pages of the first *Picture Book*, summarizing Charlot's involvement with that country. Charlot had drawn and

Illustration for *The Book of Christopher Columbus* by Paul Claudel

1930

11" x 8 1/2" each page
painting many of the subjects earlier, reaching for the essence of the experience and for other dimensions. The imaginative qualities and the technical achievement of the 1933 Picture Book have made it a classic of the lithographer’s art.

Like the first Picture Book, Picture Book II (1972) has thirty-two images and, as with the first, was done in collaboration with Charlot’s favorite printer, Lynton Kistler. Mexican subjects are included with a series of Hawaiian and Fijian images distilled from Charlot’s visual impressions in these Pacific islands (Illus. p. 158). Seeing the two books side by side invites comparisons, but perhaps Charlot himself said it best: “First one is young. Second less young.”

A third portfolio, Kei Viti, was completed in a limited color range. not, Charlot insisted, from “laziness” but with a deliberate attempt to depart from the chromolitho style and experiment with a portfolio similar to those of Toulouse-Lautrec, Maurice Denis and others where, with less color, the paper plays a larger role.

The Art of the Book

Charlot produced two outstanding series of illustrations for the Limited Editions Club of New York. The first, Prosper Mérimée’s Carmen published in 1941, contains thirty-four original lithographs. We know something of the research undertaken for these images. There is a surviving Charlot sketchbook with watercolor drawings for the Carmen costumes.

Holy Family at Night
July 1952
oil on canvas
30" x 40"
Charlot Family Collection

Untitled
1952
watercolor
28 1/2" x 22"
Collection of The Contemporary Museum, Gift of Tseng YuHo (Betty)
Eke

Dark Madonna
1954
lithograph
20” x 14 1/2”
Charlot Family Collection

Ka Ke Kumulipo (The Drummer)
1954
oil on canvas
46 1/4” x 59 1/4” (frame size)
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts, Gift of the Charlet family in memory of Jean Charlet

Mock Battle
1956
color offset lithograph on zinc
16” x 12”
Charlet Collection

Mock Victory
1956
color offset lithograph on zinc
18” x 12”
Charlet Collection
patterned after drawings from the Los Angeles Public Library. Another series of drawings shows Charlot's plans for composition. Next, Charlot completed a series of oil paintings in the same size as the final lithographs. Several of these small oils are in the possession of Honolulu collectors; one, at one time was owned, appropriately, by Gypsy Rose Lee. Others are scattered throughout the world.

Charlot chose a series of brilliant colors—fierce reds, vivid blues, and passionate purples—to suggest the flavor of the book's Spanish characters and smoldering plot (Illus. p. 154). Included also are several somewhat gruesome scenes which Charlot thought necessary in order to show accurately the world of Carmen and Don José. The publishers carried this mood still further by binding the book in a brilliant multi-color silk fit for a Gypsy queen. A final touch of luxury in the book is the fine, specially made paper, watermarked with the name, "Carmen." Charlot's intention was to complete the most beautiful printing job of color lithographs ever produced in the United States. Many will say he succeeded.

First published in 1927 with indifferent illustrations by another artist, a subsequent Limited Editions Club edition in 1962 of Thornton Wilder's Bridge of San Luis Rey with Charlot's lithographs is a particularly happy union of author and artist. The Latin American setting of the text, its strong moral and symbolic content, and its contrast of effete colonials and humble peasants inspired sixteen original Charlot lithographs and made this novel and edition worthy of the Pulitzer Prize for literature it had earlier won.
In 1930 Charlot illustrated *The Book of Christopher Columbus* written by his good friend, Paul Claudel. Claudel's work was conceived as a "lyrical drama." Although some critics consider the play impossible to stage, it has been elaborately produced, most notably by Jean Louis Barrault, with such devices as flocks of live trained doves on stage, and long lengths of flapping white cloth which at times represent Columbus' sails, at others, violent wind storms.

*Veronica*
- 1956
- fresco
- 45" x 45"
- Collection of the Catholic School Department

*Crucifix*
- n.d.
- wood and bronze
- 12 1/2" x 14 3/4"
- Collection of the Catholic School Department

*Maliaches*
- 1957
- oil on canvas
- 20" x 36"
- Collection of Allen Oglesby

*The Bridge of San Louis Rey*
- By Thornton Wilder
- New York: Limited Editions Club 1962
- book illustrations
- 11" x 9 7/8"
- Charlot Collection

*Still Life: Fiji, Pot and Tabua*
- 1963
- oil on canvas
- 30" x 30"
- Charlot Family Collection

*Tabua II*
- 1963
- oil on canvas
- 12" x 16"
- Charlot Family Collection

*Gone*
- 1963
- oil on canvas
- 40" x 30"
- Collection of Ivan Hawkins

*Portrait of Father Damien from Damien the Leper* by John Farrow
- 1977
- lithograph
- 7 3/4" x 5"
- Charlot Collection
Charlot's illustrations bring this work to life in another way. He illuminated the pages with a fantastic collection of sea gods and monsters, Aztec warriors, and a Columbus with his standard raised on the palm of a reclining nude representing America. Unfortunately, unlike the Limited Editions Club books mentioned above, The Book of Christopher Columbus was printed by Yale University Press on inferior paper stock which is fast deteriorating.

Portraits

Throughout his lifetime Charlot retained a strong interest in portraiture. Each of Charlot’s portraits offers a unique solution to the challenge of portraiture: that of producing a likeness while at the same time suggesting the moral and spiritual qualities of the subject. Many of Charlot’s subjects were associates, others he knew through books. Charlot’s gifts in portraiture grace a number of books as frontispieces depicting such diverse characters as poet-philosopher Paul Claudel, artist Henrietta Shore, and author Prosper Mérimée. A portrait completed for John Farrow’s Damien the Leper (New York: Sheed and Ward, 1937) is noteworthy for those of us in Hawai‘i because it represents Charlot’s first association with a Hawai‘i subject.2 Charlot’s Damien portrait is as unsentimental and non-idealized as the sculpture by Marisol Escobar done in 1969 for the National Statuary Hall in the Capitol in Washington, D. C. and for the Hawai‘i State Capitol. Interestingly, Charlot submitted a proposal for the Damien sculpture, but in typical Charlot style, was
generous in his praise of the Marisol work ultimately chosen.

For Characters of the Reformation by Hilaire Bello (London: Sheed and Ward, 1936) Charlot produced twenty-three original lithographs. These are especially interesting from a technical point of view because the images were drawn and printed using a new color lithography process invented by Charlot and Albert Carmen. There were

---

**Mexican Kitchen**
June 1967  
oil on canvas  
80” x 40”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Mayan Profile (Guatemala)**
December 1967  
oil on canvas  
10” x 7 1/2”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Still Life with Tortillera Statuette**
1967  
oil on canvas  
24” x 47 1/2”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Tortillera**
1967  
oil on canvas  
40” x 44”  
Charlot Family Collection

**Tying Child to Chair**
1967-69  
oil on canvas  
66” x 47” (frame size)  
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts

**Woman with Basket**
November 1968  
oil on canvas  
30” x 40”  
Collection of Ivan Hawkins

**Pots in a Mexican Kitchen**
1969  
oil on canvas  
24” x 25”  
Collection of Ivan Hawkins

---

![Elizabeth I from Characters of the Reformation by Hilaire Bello](image)

1937  
lithograph  
4 1/4” x 3 1/2”  
Charlot Collection
many ups and downs during the production of the book, causing Charlot to declare the experience a “harrowing” one. He drew and printed a total of eighty-six plates to produce the twenty-three final portraits. The work deserves additional comment because it illustrates Charlot’s attention to accuracy in historical documentation.

Brush Stroke Drawings

A number of Charlot’s book illustrations, especially in his later years, are reproductions of pen and ink line drawings. Charlot liked the economy of black and white brush drawing and found the results more beautiful to his eyes than mechanically produced multi-color facsimiles.

*Jouehim: “And To Think I Wanted a Boy!”*  
Illustration for *Cartoons Catholic*  
1978  
5 1/2” x 7”
Cartoons Catholic

(Huntington, Indiana: Our Sunday Visitor, 1978) is a collection of gently humorous yet profound drawings completed during the period when Charlot served as an illustrator for a number of Catholic newspapers. The drawing, "And to think I wanted a boy!" says much about Charlot and his Catholic faith. Catholicism for Charlot was not a religion to be taken out for an hour on Sunday, but a faith to be lived. Because of this, he avoided the pompous and grandiose qualities associated with so much religious art. Here, the parents of the Virgin Mary show their obvious delight in their daughter and the drawing becomes much more than a Bible lesson, but rather, a lesson in living. Mary's father is not the last father to have wanted a boy but found that he was enchanted with the girl he got.

Charlot adapted this style of illustration in several books on Hawaiian themes. He developed a serious interest in the Hawaiian language, and wrote plays in Hawaiian. Because he understood and loved the language, he brought a great deal of depth to the simple drawings he created for Samuel H. Elbert's grammar text, Spoken Hawaiian (Honolulu: University of Hawai`i Press, 1970). The drawing accompanying this essay illustrates a grammatical point having to do with whether to choose an "a" or "o" to show possession. Many a student might wish that all grammar books were so entertainingly illustrated.

Illustrations For Children's Books

Approximately half the books Charlot illustrated were intended for children. To

---

Drawing for fresco Kahuna with Sacred Stone
1969
pencil on paper
42" x 45"
Charlot Family Collection

Kahuna with Sacred Stone
1969
fresco
44" x 44"
Collection of the University of Hawai`i Department of Art

Hula Kii #1
1972
oil on canvas
39" x 47" (frame size)
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts

Hula Kii
1972
oil on canvas
36" x 40"
Charlot Family Collection

Mexican Pottery Duck
June 1973
oil on canvas
18" x 24"
Charlot Family Collection

Picture Book II
Los Angeles: Jacob Zeitlin, 1973
slipcase; cover; color lithographs
11" x 8 7/8" (cover size)
Charlot Collection

Presenting the Tabua
1973
serigraph
20 1/4" x 15 1/4"
Charlot Collection
illustrate for children requires an empathy and understanding of childhood, qualities which Charlot had in abundance. It is enlightening to review the many images in his total body of work—oils, prints, and murals—having to do with children. Typical Charlot children are inventive, curious little creatures, out to explore the world, yet ever conscious of the comforting, bulwark-like mother figure always nearby.

One of the books Charlot illustrated, Joseph Krumgold's *And Now Miguel* (New York: Crowell, 1953), won a Newbery Prize, the most significant American annual award for excellence in children's literature. Another, Amelia Martinez Del Rio's *The Sun, the Moon, and a Rabbit* (New York: Sheed and

![Image](image-url)

**O-Words Are One's Birthright**
Illustration for *Spoken Hawaiian* by Samuel H. Elbert
1970
4" x 4 1/2"
Ward, 1935), executed in the turquoise, emerald, magenta, peach and topaz colors of Mexico, was chosen by critics in 1935 as one of the "Fifty Books of the Year."

"Being a mural painter by trade," Charlot once wrote, "I approach a book as an architecture. The sturdiness of the page, the margins, the continuity of style are the important considerations. A child looking at one of my books should feel a sense of order and logic quite independent of the story-telling" (Morse 1976:87).

Notes

1. A complete list of Charlot's illustrations is in Zohmah Charlot's Jean Charlot: Books, Portfolios, Writings, Murals (Honolulu: Privately published, 1986).

2. Father Damien (Joseph Damien deVeuster, 1840-1888) was a Belgian Catholic missionary to Hawai'i who volunteered to serve the leper colony on the island of Moloka'i, eventually contracting terminal Hansen's disease himself.

3. A bronze sculpture, 45" high, was cast from the ceramic maquette Charlot made for the State competition in 1967. The bronze Damien was installed at St. Anthony's Church, Wailuku, Maui in 1980.

---

Self-portrait
1975
etching
8 1/2" x 8 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection

Hala Leaves and Black Lava, Kapoho, Hawai'i
1976
oil on canvas
20" x 24"
Charlot Family Collection

Iolani Luahine, Head
1976
oil on canvas
12" x 16"
Charlot Family Collection

Guatemalan Weaver
1977
oil on canvas
24" x 32 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection

Warrior, Fiji
1978
oil on canvas
20" x 16"
Charlot Family Collection

Christ and the Samaritan Woman at the Well
Study for fresco at Maryknoll School, Honolulu
1978
oil on canvas
20" x 24"
Charlot Family Collection
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**Father**

1915

pencil and chalk on paper

5 3/8" x 3 5/8"

Charlet Family Collection
Brittany, Trees (Sunny sous-bois)
c. 1916
oil on paper
5 5/8" x 8 1/2"
Charluk Collection
Landau

December 1, 1919

gouache

14 5/8" x 10 1/8"

Chavret Collection
Soldier (front)
1920
gouache
14 3/4" x 16 1/8"
Charlet Collection
Chesnû de Croix (Via Crucis)
1918-1920
woodcut (wood blocks and 15 plates)
17 1/3" x 12"
Chariot Collection
L'Amitié
1921
gouache:
58 5/8" x 55 5/8"
Charlot Family Collection
Man with Cigarette (Trinidad)
1932
oil on canvas
34" x 24"
Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Charles Hamane
Standing Woman

c. 1921
pencil on paper
11" x 6 3/4"
Charles Collection
Orozco Working
August 1923
pencil on paper
15" x 10"
Charlot Collection
Diego Rivera
August 1922
pencil on paper
11 3/4" x 8 3/4"
Charlot Family Collection
Xavier Guerrero
1922
pencil on paper
11 7/8" x 7 7/8"
Chariot Collection
Portrait of Siqueiros
February 1924
ink on tissue
16 7/8" x 13 7/8"
Charlot Collection
Manuel Martínez Pintos

c. 1924

ink and pencil on paper

18 1/2" x 12"

Charlott Family Collection
Luz
January 1924
pencil on paper
11 3/4" x 8 7/8"
Charriot Family Collection
Luz
May 1924
black and red conté crayon on paper
15" x 11"
Charlet Family Collection
Nahui Oliva
February 1924
watercolor
22" x 5"
Otis-Redding Family Collection
Jestiza with Orange Fan
July, 1936
oil on canvas
38" x 22" (frame size)
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts, Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne
Tina Modotti
1924
pencil on paper
11 1/4" x 8 7/8"
Charlot Collection
Anita Brener
1926
red chalk on paper
19 1/2" x 25 1/2"
Charlet Family Collection
Diagram for the mural
Massacre in the Main Temple,
Preparatoria, Mexico City
1922
pencil and ink on paper
19 1/2" x 53"
Charlot Collection
Drawing for Massacre in the Main Temple

1922
watercolor and pencil on paper
17 12" x 32"
Charlot Collection
Drawing of Head, full scale,  
for Massacre in the Main Temple  
1922  
pencil on paper  
31 1/2” x 24 3/4”  
Charles Collection
Drawing of Head, full scale, for *Massacre in the Main Temple*  
1922  
pencil on paper  
17 1/2" x 21 3/4"  
Charlet Collection
Los ricos en el infierno
1924
woodcut
13" x 10 1/2"
Charlot Collection
Lady with Hat
August 1926
oil on canvas
32” x 23”
Church Family Collection
Temascal
1925
lithograph
25 1/2" x 22 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection
Nude III (with raised arms)
1927
woodcut
6" x 4 3/4"
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne
Nude Back
December 1926
oil on canvas
39" x 19 1/2"
Charlot Family Collection
Great Nude, Chalma II
April 1932
oil on canvas
37 3/4" x 36"
Chariot Family Collection
Woman with White Rebozo

Doro

lithograph

14" x 10 1/2"

Charlot Collection
Luz with Basket
February 1924
oil on canvas
14" x 10 3/4"
Charlet Family Collection
Mother and Child
December 1928
oil on canvas
32" x 25"
Charlot Family Collection
Jaana
October 1927
oil on canvas
29" x 23 1/2"
Collection of Henry Blakstad, Jr.
Study from Carnegie Institution Archaeological Expedition to Chichen Itza, Yucatan
1936-38
watercolor and pencil on paper
10 7/8" x 8 3/8"
Charlot Family Collection
Dance of the Pastores, Champa I
March 1925
oil on canvas
16 x 10 3/4
Charles Family Collection
Seven Pilgrims, Milpa Alta
August 1933
oil on canvas
39 1/2" x 50"
Collection of the Honolulu Academy of Arts, Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne
Pintao with Cap
1997
oil on canvas
36" x 28"
Charlot Family Collection
Laza
1881
oil on canvas
48" x 39"
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts, Gift of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Browne
Idol
October 1939
oil on canvas
46" x 36"
Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Brown
Reclining Nude
July 1929
pencil on paper
16" × 21 3/8"
Charist Family Collection
La Montagne
1932
oil on canvas
30” x 47 1/2”
Charlot Family Collection
Zehmeh Painting
1931
oil on canvas
25 1/2" x 19 1/2"
Carlet Family Collection
Self-portrait
1982
oil on canvas
15” x 15”
Chardot Family Collection
Cargador

Picture Book

1931

color lithograph
8 1/4" x 6 1/2"
Charlot Collection
Tondo: After the Bath

1935

lithograph

10 3/4" x 9 3/8"

Charlot Collection
Rest and Work at Doorsill
July 1938
oil on canvas
36" x 30"
Charlot Family Collection
First Steps
1930
oil on canvas
40" x 30"
Collection of Ivan Hawkins
Great Malinche with Orchestra
August 1943
oil on canvas
30" x 70"
Collection of Henry Blakstad, Jr.
Holy Family at Night
July 1952
oil on canvas
30" x 40"
Charlot Family Collection
Woman with Basket

November 1989
oil on canvas
36" x 40"
Collection of Ivan Hawkins
Veronica
1956
fresco
48 x 48
Collection of the Catholic School Department
Potter
Study for Visual Arts mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia
December 1941
charcoal on paper
19" x 24.58"
Charlot Family Collection
Muse
Study for Music mural, Fine Arts Building, University of Georgia
December 1941
charcoal on paper
24 3/4" x 18 7/8"
Charlot Family Collection
Head with Rebozo

1937

lithograph

7 1/2" x 8 3/4"

Charlot Collection
Dark Madonna
1954
lithograph
20" x 14 1/3"
Charlot Family Collection
Carmen
By Prosper Mérimée
New York: Limited Edition Club
1941
lithograph
6" x 6"
Charriot Collection
Ku Ke Kumulpo (The Drummer)
1954
oil on canvas
40 1/4" x 50 1/4" (frame size)
Collection of Hawaii State Foundation on Culture and the Arts, Gift of the Charot family in memory of Jean Charot
Captain Cook
Fresco fragment from mural.
Early Contacts of Hawai i with Outer World, Bishop Bank
1951-52
39 1/2" x 28"
Collection of The Contemporary Museum, Honolulu
Fijian

*Picture Book II*

Los Angeles: Jacob Zeitlin, 1973

color lithograph

3" x 6"

Charlot Collection
Hela K'\'i
1972
oil on canvas
30\' x 40\'
Charlot Family Collection
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